

THE SPINE OF A FOURIER-STIELTJES ALGEBRA

MONICA ILIE AND NICO SPRONK

ABSTRACT. We define the *spine* $A^*(G)$ of the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$ of a locally compact group G . This algebra encodes information about much of the fine structure of $B(G)$; particularly information about certain homomorphisms and idempotents.

We show that $A^*(G)$ is graded over a certain semi-lattice, that of non-quotient locally precompact topologies on G . We compute the spine's spectrum G^* , which admits a semi-group structure. We discuss homomorphisms from $A^*(G)$ to $B(H)$ where H is another locally compact group; and we show that $A^*(G)$ contains the image of every completely bounded homomorphism from the Fourier algebra $A(H)$ of any amenable group H . We also show that $A^*(G)$ contains all of the idempotents in $B(G)$. Finally, we compute examples for vector groups, abelian lattices, minimally almost periodic groups and the $ax + b$ -group; and we explore the complexity of $A^*(G)$ for the discrete rational numbers and free groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. History, Motivation and Plan. For any locally compact abelian group G , Inoue [26] developed a subalgebra of the measure algebra $M(G)$. Let τ_G be the topology of G , and for any locally compact group topology τ on G which is finer than τ_G , there is a natural isometric homomorphism from the group algebra $L^1(G, \tau)$ into $M(G)$; let us denote the range $L^\tau(G)$. If, for example, τ_d is the discrete topology, then we obtain the isomorphism which identifies $\ell^1(G) = L^1(G, \tau_d)$ with the closed span of the Dirac measures in $M(G)$. Then Inoue's algebra is

$$(1.1) \quad L^*(G) = \overline{\sum_{\tau} L^\tau(G)}$$

where τ ranges over all locally compact topologies finer than τ_G and the overline denotes norm closure.

In [40, 7.6.5], Taylor identifies for one of his generalised “convolution measure algebras” $\mathfrak{M}$, the closed linear span of all maximal subalgebras which

Date: February 1, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 43A30; Secondary 43A60, 43A07, 46L07, 22B05. *Key words and phrases.* Fourier algebra, locally precompact topology, spine, completely bounded map.

We like to thank NSERC for partial funding of our work.

are isometrically isomorphic to a group algebra of some locally compact abelian group G . He calls this the *spine* of $\mathfrak{M}$ and denotes it $\mathfrak{M}_0$. By [40, 8.2.2] (or by Cohen's homomorphism theorem [11] and the characterisation of the range of homomorphisms from abelian group algebras into abelian measure algebras from [27]), we see that if $\mathfrak{M} = M(G)$, then $\mathfrak{M}_0 = L^*(G)$.

In the present article we develop the non-commutative dual analogue of the spine of an abelian measure algebra. Thus we let G be a locally compact group and $B(G)$ denote the *Fourier-Stieltjes algebra* of Eymard [17]. (If G is abelian, then $B(G) \cong M(\hat{G})$ via the Fourier-Stieltjes transform on the dual group $\hat{G}$.) In this context the *Fourier algebra* $A(G)$ plays the role of " $L^1(\hat{G})$ ". Thus the analogue of the spine, in this context, ought to be the closed span of all of the "maximal Fourier subalgebras".

To this end we consider group topologies τ on G which are coarser than τ_G , since in the abelian setting the continuous homomorphism $(G, \tau_G) \rightarrow (G, \tau)$ give rise to a dual map $(\hat{G}, \hat{\tau}) \rightarrow (\hat{G}, \tau_{\hat{G}})$ so $\hat{\tau} \subseteq \tau_{\hat{G}}$. We wish to restrict ourselves to such topologies which will give us a Fourier algebra so we choose topologies τ for which the completion of G with respect to τ , G_τ , is locally compact. We call these *locally precompact topologies*. Then there is a continuous homomorphism with dense range, $\eta_\tau : G \rightarrow G_\tau$, which gives rise to an isometric homomorphism $u \mapsto u \circ \eta_\tau$ from $A(G_\tau)$ to $B(G)$. We denote the range of the homomorphism $A_\tau(G)$ and define the *spine* by

$$A^*(G) = \overline{\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}(G)} A_\tau(G)}$$

where $\mathcal{T}(G)$ is the family of all locally precompact topologies, coarser than τ_G . The notion of maximality of a Fourier subalgebra is suggested to us by [3, Theorem 2.1]; see the proof of Lemma 3.2. This motivates us to identify *non-quotient topologies* in §2.3. The existence of such topologies is aided by appealing to the *almost periodic compactification* of G . These topologies admit a "supremum" operation, which allows us to see that $A^*(G)$ is graded over a semi-lattice.

We note that the topologies we consider are not necessarily Hausdorff on G , in particular when the group fails to be maximally almost periodic. We will deal specially with the Hausdorff topologies in the *reduced spine*, $A_0^*(G)$. We show in §4.3 that $A_0^*(G)$ is unital, if and only if G is maximally almost periodic, if and only if $A_0^*(G) = A^*(G)$.

Motivated by the papers of Inoue [26, 27], in Section 4 we exploit the semi-lattice structure on our locally precompact non-quotient topologies to construct the spectrum G^* of $A^*(G)$. Using G^* we can extend our generalisation [25] of Cohen's homomorphism theorem [11] to study homomorphisms from $A^*(G)$ to $B(H)$ for another locally compact group H . We are forced, however, to consider the natural operator space structures on $A^*(G)$ and $B(H)$, and as well restrict ourselves to amenable G . Thus we obtain a characterisation of *completely bounded* homomorphisms from the spine $A^*(G)$

for an amenable group G , to $B(H)$ for a general locally compact group H . Moreover, we show that $A^*(H)$ is exactly the subspace of $B(H)$ which contains the images of all such homomorphisms. We also obtain the facts that if H_0 is an open subgroup of H , then $A^*(H)|_{H_0} = A^*(H_0)$, and that $A^*(H)$ contains all of the idempotents from $B(H)$.

In Section 5 we show that for an abelian locally compact group G , that our non-quotient locally precompact group topologies which are coarser than τ_G , are in a natural duality with those locally compact group topologies on $\widehat{G}$ which are finer than $\tau_{\widehat{G}}$. This shows that $A^*(G) \cong L^*(\widehat{G})$, and that our results generalise those of Inoue.

In the last section we compute some examples. For some Lie groups we compute examples explicitly. We even do explicit computations for some abelian groups, including vector groups, which we have not found in the existing literature. Here we use the fact that G^* is a semi-topological semi-group, which we dub the *spine compactification* of G , to obtain some interesting semi-groups. We merely scratch the surface of the problem of attempting to compute the spine for certain algebraic groups and for free groups.

As this article was being written, some applications of the ideas discovered have started new projects. First of them is that the present authors can determine the structure of the subalgebra of $B(G)$ which is generated by the idempotents. Second of them is by V. Runde and the second named author: we have characterised some amenability properties of $A^*(G)$. Moreover, in this investigation, some examples of non-compact groups G have been discovered for which $B(G)$ is both operator amenable and weakly amenable, contrary to standing conjectures. Both shall appear in forthcoming articles.

1.2. Notation. If G is any locally compact group let $B(G)$ denote its *Fourier-Stieltjes algebra* and $A(G)$ denote its *Fourier algebra*, as defined in [17]. We recall that $B(G)$ consists of all matrix coefficients of continuous unitary representations, i.e. functions of the form $s \mapsto \langle \pi(s)\xi | \eta \rangle$ where $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is a homomorphism, continuous when the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is endowed with the weak operator topology. We also recall that $A(G)$ is the space of all matrix coefficients of the left regular representation $\lambda_G : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L^2(G))$, given by left translation operators on $L^2(G)$, the Hilbert space of (equivalence classes of) square-integrable functions. The Fourier-Stieltjes algebra is the predual of the *enveloping von Neumann algebra* $W^*(G)$ which is generated by the universal representation ϖ_G [14]. This norm makes $B(G)$ into a Banach algebra. If $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is any continuous unitary representation of G , we let VN_π be the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi(G)$ and A_π be the closed linear subspace generated by matrix coefficients $\{\langle \pi(\cdot)\xi | \eta \rangle : \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}\}$. We have that the annihilator $A_\pi^\perp$ in $W^*(G)$ is closed ideal for which $W^*(G)/A_\pi^\perp \cong VN_\pi$ as von Neumann algebras, whence we have isometric duality $A_\pi^* \cong VN_\pi$. In particular, $A(G)$ is the predual of the *group von Neumann algebra* $VN(G) = VN_{\lambda_G}$. We note

that $A(G)$ is an ideal in $B(G)$ and is semi-simple with character space bi-continuously isomorphic to G .

We will make enough use of operator spaces that a few words are in order. Our standard reference on this topic is [16], though we will indicate other references below. Any C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is an *operator space* in the sense that for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathcal{A}$, $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ admits a unique norm which makes it into a C^* -algebra. A linear map $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between C^* -algebras is called *completely bounded* if it is bounded and its amplifications $T^{(n)} : M_n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{B})$, given by $T^{(n)}[a_{ij}] = [Ta_{ij}]$, give a bounded family of norms $\{\|T^{(n)}\| : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$. In this case we write $\|T\| = \sup \{\|T^{(n)}\| : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$.

If $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are von Neumann algebras with preduals $\mathcal{M}_*$ and $\mathcal{N}_*$, we say a map $\Phi : \mathcal{M}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_*$ is *completely bounded*, if its adjoint $\Phi^* : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is such. However, it is often convenient to consider completely bounded maps on the space $\mathcal{M}_*$ by noting that it admits an operator space structure via the identifications $M_n(\mathcal{M}_*) \cong \mathcal{CB}^\sigma(\mathcal{M}, M_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where $\mathcal{CB}^\sigma(\mathcal{M}, M_n)$ is the space of normal completely bounded maps from $\mathcal{M}$ to the finite dimensional von Neumann algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices [5, 15]. We note that these spaces $\mathcal{M}_*$, with the above matricial structures, are completely isometrically isomorphic to subspaces of C^* -algebras [36], which are not generally operator subalgebras. In particular, these structures are used to create the *operator projective tensor product* $\mathcal{M}_* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{N}_*$ [6, 15]. This tensor product admits the very useful formula $(\mathcal{M}_* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{N}_*)^* \cong \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$ [15], where $\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$ is the von Neumann tensor product.

2. THE LATTICE OF LOCALLY PRECOMPACT GROUP TOPOLOGIES

2.1. Locally Precompact Topologies. Let G be a locally compact group. We will denote by τ_G the topology on G . As is conventional in the literature, we will suppose that τ_G is Hausdorff. If H is another locally compact group with topology τ_H , and $\eta : G \rightarrow H$ is a continuous homomorphism, then

$$(2.1) \quad \eta^{-1}(\tau_H) = \{\eta^{-1}(U) : U \in \tau_H\}$$

forms a group topology on G . We note that $\eta^{-1}(\tau_H)$ is coarser than τ_G and is Hausdorff exactly when η is injective. Letting $\mathcal{P}(G)$ denote the power set of G we let

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{T}(G) = \left\{ \tau \subseteq \mathcal{P}(G) : \begin{array}{l} \text{there exist a locally compact group } H \text{ and} \\ \text{a continuous homomorphism } \eta : G \rightarrow H \\ \text{such that } \tau = \eta^{-1}(\tau_H) \end{array} \right\}.$$

We call the elements of $\mathcal{T}(G)$ *locally precompact topologies* on G .

If $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(G)$ and $\tau = \eta^{-1}(\tau_H)$, as in (2.1), we call the pair (η, H) a *representation* of τ . If (η_j, H_j) , $j = 1, 2$, are each representations of τ , then there is a topological isomorphism

$$\iota_2^1 : \overline{\eta_1(G)} \rightarrow \overline{\eta_2(G)} \text{ such that } \iota_2^1 \circ \eta_1 = \eta_2.$$

Indeed, if $\mathcal{U}_\tau$ is a neighbourhood basis for τ at the identity e in G , then we see that

$$N_\tau = \bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{U}_\tau} U$$

coincides with each $\ker \eta_j$, so N_τ is a τ -closed normal subgroup of G . Let $q_\tau : G \rightarrow G/N_\tau$ be the quotient map. The first isomorphism theorem provides us with isomorphisms $\iota_j : G/N_\tau \rightarrow \eta_j(G)$, $j = 1, 2$, for which $\iota_j \circ q_\tau = \eta_j$ and the topologies $\iota_j^{-1}(\tau_{H_j})$ each coincide with the quotient topology $q_\tau(\tau) = \{q_\tau(U) : U \in \tau\}$. Thus we obtain a topological isomorphism $\iota_2^1 : \eta_1(G) \rightarrow \eta_2(G)$, which by uniform continuity (see [22, 5.40(a)], and [28, 6.26]), extends uniquely to a continuous isomorphism $\bar{\iota}_2^1 : \overline{\eta_1(G)} \rightarrow \overline{\eta_2(G)}$. Changing the order we obtain a continuous isomorphism $\bar{\iota}_1^2 : \overline{\eta_2(G)} \rightarrow \overline{\eta_1(G)}$ which is the inverse of $\bar{\iota}_2^1$, hence $\bar{\iota}_2^1$ is a topological isomorphism, which we will denote ι_2^1 . Hence, if $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(G)$ we may select a representation (η_τ, G_τ) , which is unique up to isomorphism, and satisfies

- (i) $\eta_\tau : G \rightarrow G_\tau$ is a continuous homomorphism with dense range,
- (ii) $\ker \eta_\tau = N_\tau$, and
- (iii) $\tau = \eta_\tau^{-1}(\tau_{G_\tau})$.

We will call G_τ the *completion* of G with respect to τ , and N_τ the *kernel* of τ . We will let $q_\tau : G \rightarrow G/N_\tau$ be the quotient map, and $\iota_\tau : G/N_\tau \rightarrow G_\tau$ be the continuous homomorphism provided by the first isomorphism theorem. We note that ι_τ is always injective, though it may not be surjective.

If $\tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2$ in $\mathcal{T}(G)$, then there is a unique homomorphism

$$\eta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} : G_{\tau_2} \rightarrow G_{\tau_1} \text{ such that } \eta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \circ \eta_{\tau_2} = \eta_{\tau_1}.$$

Indeed, we have that

$$N_{\tau_1} = \bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}} U \supseteq \bigcap_{V \in \mathcal{U}_{\tau_2}} V = N_{\tau_2}$$

and hence the second isomorphism theorem provides us with a continuous homomorphism $q_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} : G/N_{\tau_1} \rightarrow G/N_{\tau_2}$ such that $q_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \circ q_{\tau_2} = q_{\tau_1}$. This induces a continuous homomorphism $\tilde{q}_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} : \iota_{\tau_1}(G/N_{\tau_1}) \rightarrow \iota_{\tau_2}(G/N_{\tau_2}) \subseteq G_{\tau_2}$, which extends uniquely to a continuous homomorphism $\eta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} : G_{\tau_2} \rightarrow G_{\tau_1}$, as required. We note that if we have a third topology τ_0 in $\mathcal{T}(G)$, $\tau_0 \subseteq \tau_1$, then we obtain the relation

$$(2.3) \quad \eta_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} \circ \eta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} = \eta_{\tau_0}^{\tau_2}.$$

In the case that $\tau_2 = \tau_G$ we recover the relation $\eta_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} \circ \eta_{\tau_1} = \eta_{\tau_0}$.

It will be convenient for us to distinguish

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{T}_0(G) = \{\tau \in \mathcal{T}(G) : \tau \text{ is Hausdorff}\}$$

which is exactly the set of those topologies τ in $\mathcal{T}(G)$ for which $N_\tau = \{e\}$.

We note that we can develop $\mathcal{T}_0(G)$ by an alternate method. If τ is any Hausdorff topology on G which is coarser than τ_G we define the *left*

uniformity on G with respect to τ by

$$\mathcal{LU}_\tau = \{W \subseteq G \times G : W \supseteq m_l^{-1}(U) \text{ for some } U \in \mathcal{U}_\tau\}$$

where $m_l : G \times G \rightarrow G$ is given by $m_l(s, t) = s^{-1}t$. We may similarly define the right uniformity on G with respect to τ , $\mathcal{RU}_\tau$. We say that τ is *locally precompact* if there exists a completion of G with respect to $\mathcal{LU}_\tau$, G_τ , which is a locally compact group. By [35, 9.26 and 10.15], the existence of such a completion with respect to $\mathcal{LU}_\tau$ is equivalent to having a locally compact completion with respect to $\mathcal{RU}_\tau$, and these two completions are topologically isomorphic. Note that if τ is a locally compact topology, then G is already complete with respect to $\mathcal{LU}_\tau$, and we have $G = G_\tau$.

2.2. The Lattice Operation. If $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}(G)$, we let $\tau_1 \vee \tau_2$ denote the coarsest topology which makes each map $\eta_{\tau_j} : G \rightarrow G_{\tau_j}$, $j = 1, 2$ continuous. It is clear that $\tau_1 \vee \tau_2$ has base $\tau_1 \cup \tau_2$, and is thus coarser than τ_G . Moreover, $\tau_1 \vee \tau_2$ is locally precompact for if $\delta : G \rightarrow G_{\tau_1} \times G_{\tau_2}$ is the map given by $\delta(s) = (\eta_{\tau_1}(s), \eta_{\tau_2}(s))$, then

$$\tau_1 \vee \tau_2 = \delta^{-1}(\tau_1 \times \tau_2) = \{\delta^{-1}(W) : W \in \tau_1 \times \tau_2\}$$

where $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ is the product topology on $G_{\tau_1} \times G_{\tau_2}$. Thus we have that

$$(2.5) \quad \eta_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2} : G \rightarrow G_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2} \subset G_{\tau_1} \times G_{\tau_2} : s \mapsto (\eta_{\tau_1}(s), \eta_{\tau_2}(s)).$$

We note some very basic relations. Let $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \in \mathcal{T}(G)$. Then

$$(2.6) \quad \tau_1 \vee \tau_2 = \tau_2 \vee \tau_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_1 \vee \tau_2 = \tau_2 \Leftrightarrow \tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2.$$

Moreover, the lattice operation is associative: $(\tau_1 \vee \tau_2) \vee \tau_3 = \tau_1 \vee (\tau_2 \vee \tau_3)$. Also, since $N_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2} = N_{\tau_1} \cap N_{\tau_2}$, it follows that

$$(2.7) \quad \text{if } \tau \in \mathcal{T}(G) \text{ and } \tau_0 \in \mathcal{T}_0(G), \text{ then } \tau \vee \tau_0 \in \mathcal{T}_0(G) \text{ too.}$$

In order to describe the lattice operation on infinite families, let us first note that $\mathcal{T}(G)$ is a directed set via inclusion: any pair τ_1, τ_2 of elements is dominated by $\tau_1 \vee \tau_2$. Any directed subset $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{T}(G)$ thus gives rise to an inverse mapping system:

$$\{G_\tau, \eta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} : \tau \in \mathcal{S}, \tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2 \text{ in } \mathcal{S}\}$$

by the relations (2.3). Thus we obtain the projective limit

$$(2.8) \quad G_\mathcal{S} = \varprojlim_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} G_\tau = \left\{ (s_\tau) \in \prod_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} G_\tau : \eta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2}(s_{\tau_2}) = s_{\tau_1} \text{ if } \tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2 \text{ in } \mathcal{S} \right\}.$$

We denote the topology on this group by $\tau_\mathcal{S} = \bigvee_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} \tau$. It is well-known that $G_\mathcal{S}$ is a complete topological group (see [24, Section 25], for example). However, it is not clear that $G_\mathcal{S}$ is locally compact, in general. The following special case seems known (there is such a comment on page 133 of [20], for example). Since we could not find a proof in any of the standard references [22], [24] or [31], we will supply a brief one.

We say that a continuous map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, where X, Y are Hausdorff spaces, is *proper*, if $\varphi^{-1}(K)$ is compact in X for every compact subset K of Y .

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a directed subset of $\mathcal{T}(G)$ which enjoys the property that if $\tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2$ in $\mathcal{Q}$, then $\eta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} : G_{\tau_1} \rightarrow G_{\tau_2}$ is a proper map. Then the projective limit $G_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is locally compact.*

Proof. A subbase for $\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is formed by the sets

$$\left\{ \left(\prod_{\tau \in \mathcal{Q} \setminus \{\tau_0\}} G_{\tau} \times U_{\tau_0} \right) \cap G_{\mathcal{Q}} : \tau_0 \in \mathcal{Q} \text{ and } U_{\tau_0} \subset G_{\tau_0} \text{ is a relatively compact open set} \right\}.$$

Let us fix one of these neighbourhoods. If $\tau \in \mathcal{Q} \setminus \{\tau_0\}$ then either $\tau \supset \tau_0$ or there exists a τ' in $\mathcal{Q}$ such that $\tau' \supset \tau_0$ and $\tau' \supset \tau$. Thus we may express the closure

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\prod_{\tau \in \mathcal{Q} \setminus \{\tau_0\}} G_{\tau} \times \overline{U}_{\tau_0} \right) \cap G_{\mathcal{Q}} \\ &= \left(\prod_{\tau \supset \tau_0} (\eta_{\tau_0}^{\tau})^{-1}(\overline{U}_{\tau_0}) \times \overline{U}_{\tau_0} \times \prod_{\tau \not\supset \tau_0} \eta_{\tau}^{\tau'}((\eta_{\tau_0}^{\tau'})^{-1}(\overline{U}_{\tau_0})) \right) \cap G_{\mathcal{Q}}. \end{aligned}$$

The latter set is clearly compact. $\square$

If $\{\tau_j\}_{j \in J} \subset \mathcal{T}(G)$, then we let $\mathcal{F}$ be the collection of finite subsets of J and let for F in $\mathcal{F}$, $\tau_F = \bigvee_{j \in F} \tau_j$ which is in $\mathcal{T}(G)$. Then we may realise $\bigvee_{j \in J} \tau_j = \bigvee_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \tau_F$ as a projective limit topology. Thus it follows Proposition 2.1 that $\bigvee_{j \in J} \tau_j$ is locally precompact if for each pair of indices j_1, j_2 we have that $\eta_{\tau_{j_1}}^{\tau_{j_1} \vee \tau_{j_2}} : G_{\tau_{j_1} \vee \tau_{j_2}} \rightarrow G_{\tau_{j_1}}$ is a proper map.

See §4.2 for a notion of $\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2$.

2.3. Quotient Topologies. If $\tau, \tau_1 \in \mathcal{T}(G)$ we say that τ is a *quotient* of τ_1 if

- (i) $\tau \subset \tau_1$, and
- (ii) $\eta_{\tau}^{\tau_1} : G_{\tau_1} \rightarrow G_{\tau}$ is a proper map.

Recall that proper maps are defined just before Proposition 2.1. We note that condition (ii) is equivalent to

- (ii') $\ker \eta_{\tau}^{\tau_1}$ is compact and $G_{\tau} \cong G_{\tau_1} / \ker \eta_{\tau}^{\tau_1}$, homeomorphically.

Indeed, proper maps are closed (see [25, Corollary 3.11]) so $\eta_{\tau}^{\tau_1}(G_{\tau_1}) = G_{\tau}$. Also $\ker \eta_{\tau}^{\tau_1} = (\eta_{\tau}^{\tau_1})^{-1}(\{e\})$ is compact. Finally, $\eta_{\tau}^{\tau_1}$ is open for if U is a relatively compact open subset of G_{τ_1} , then $V = \eta_{\tau}^{\tau_1}(U)$ is relatively compact in G_{τ} so there is a compact neighbourhood C of V . We find that $C \setminus V$ is closed, whence V is open. Indeed, if (s_i) is a net in $C \setminus V$ converging to s_0 , we let (t_i) be a net from $(\eta_{\tau}^{\tau_1})^{-1}(C)$ for which $\eta_{\tau}^{\tau_1}(t_i) = s_i$. Then if t_0 is any cluster point of (t_i) , we have that $\eta_{\tau}^{\tau_1}(t_0) = s_0$ and $t_0 \notin U$, so $s_0 \notin V$, i.e. $s_0 \in C \setminus V$.

Let

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G) = \left\{ \tau \in \mathcal{T}(G) : \begin{array}{l} \text{there is no } \tau_1 \in \mathcal{T}(G) \text{ such that} \\ \tau \text{ is a non-trivial quotient of } \tau_1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

We call the elements of $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ *non-quotient* topologies. We note that $\tau_G \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$. In order to characterise non-quotient topologies amongst locally precompact topologies we will make use of a special topology defined below.

A *compactification* of G is a pair (η, S) where S is a semi-topological semigroup and $\eta : G \rightarrow S$ is a continuous homomorphism with dense range. See the book [4] for more on this. If $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(G)$ and G_τ is compact, then (η_τ, G_τ) is a group compactification of G , and we say that τ is *precompact*. The *almost periodic compactification* of G , (η_{ap}, G^{ap}) is maximal amongst all group compactifications in the sense that every locally precompact topology τ on G is a quotient of $\tau_{ap} = \eta_{ap}^{-1}(\tau_{G^{ap}})$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(G)$. Then the following all hold.*

- (i) *The topology $\tau_{nq} = \tau \vee \tau_{ap}$ admits τ as a quotient topology.*
- (ii) *If τ is a quotient of another topology τ_1 in $\mathcal{T}(G)$, then τ_1 , in turn, is a quotient of τ_{nq} . Hence τ_{nq} is the unique non-quotient which admits τ as a quotient.*
- (iii) $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G) \iff \tau = \tau \vee \tau_{ap} \iff \tau \supseteq \tau_{ap}.$

Let us begin with a lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let H be a locally compact group, K be a compact normal subgroup with quotient map $q_K : H \rightarrow H/K$, and (η, H_1) be a group compactification of H with $K \cap \ker \eta = \{e_H\}$. Then the homomorphism $s \mapsto (q_K(s), \eta(s))$ from H to $H/K \times H_1$ is injective and bicontinuous, thus a homeomorphism onto its range.*

Proof. If for s, s_1 in H , $(q_K(s), \eta(s)) = (q_K(s_1), \eta(s_1))$ then $s_1 = sk$ for some k in K and hence $\eta(s_1) = \eta(s)\eta(k)$, so $k \in K \cap \ker \eta = \{e_H\}$. Thus the map is injective. It is clearly continuous.

Let (s_i) be a net in H and s_0 in H be such that $\lim_i (q_K(s_i), \eta(s_i)) = (q_K(s_0), \eta(s_0))$. Then for any relatively compact neighbourhood U of s_0 we have that (s_i) is eventually in UK . We then have that (s_i) has a cluster point s_1 in each such UK . Since U is arbitrary, s_1 must be in s_0K , whence $s_1 = s_0k$ for some k in K . If $(s_{i'})$ is a subnet converging to s_1 then we see that

$$\eta(s_0)\eta(k) = \eta(s_1) = \lim_{i'} \eta(s_{i'}) = \lim_i \eta(s_i) = \eta(s_0)$$

so $k \in K \cap \ker \eta = \{e_H\}$. Thus $s_1 = s_0$ is the only cluster point of (s_i) and we see that $\lim_i s_i = s_0$. Thus $(q_K(s), \eta(s)) \mapsto s$ is continuous. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(G)$. First, let us see that τ is a quotient of $\tau \vee \tau_{ap}$. Let $\varepsilon = \{\emptyset, G\}$ be the trivial topology, which is precompact as it is induced by the trivial homomorphism $\eta_\varepsilon : G \rightarrow 1$. Then $\tau = \tau \vee \varepsilon$ and

$\tau \vee \varepsilon$ is a quotient of $\tau \vee \tau_{ap}$ since $\eta_{\tau \vee \varepsilon}^{\tau \vee \tau_{ap}}$ is the restriction, to $G_{\tau \vee \tau_{ap}}$, of the quotient map $\text{id}_{G_\tau} \times \eta_\varepsilon^{\tau_{ap}} : G_\tau \times G^{ap} \rightarrow G_\tau \times 1$.

Let τ_1 be any topology of which τ is a quotient. Let $(\eta_{ap}^{\tau_1}, G_{\tau_1}^{ap})$ denote the almost periodic compactification of G_{τ_1} , and $\tau_{1,ap}$ the precompact topology on G induced by $\eta_{ap}^{\tau_1} \circ \eta_{\tau_1}$. Then by Lemma 2.3, above, $s \mapsto (\eta_\tau^{\tau_1}(s), \eta_{ap}^{\tau_1}(s)) : G_{\tau_1} \rightarrow G_\tau \times G_{\tau_1}^{ap}$ is a bicontinuous isomorphism, so $\tau_1 = \tau \vee \tau_{1,ap}$. However, $\tau \vee \tau_{1,ap}$ is clearly a quotient of $\tau_{nq} = \tau \vee \tau_{ap}$. Thus (ii) holds. Moreover, if τ_2 is any topology of which τ_{nq} is a quotient, then τ is also a quotient of τ_2 , and hence τ_2 is a quotient of τ_{nq} , so $\tau_2 = \tau_{nq}$. Thus $\tau_{nq} \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ and is the unique such topology which has τ as a quotient; whence we obtain (i).

Part (iii) is now just a straightforward application of the lattice relations (2.6). $\square$

2.4. Semilattice Structure on $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$. A *semilattice* consists of a set $\mathcal{S}$ and an associative binary operation $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} : (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \mapsto \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2$ for which $\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 = \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_1$ and $\sigma \cdot \sigma = \sigma$ for every $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma$ in $\mathcal{S}$. Thus a semilattice is a commutative idempotent semigroup.

We note that $\mathcal{T}(G)$ is a semilattice under the operation $(\tau_1, \tau_2) \mapsto \tau_1 \vee \tau_2$ by (2.6). We note that $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ is a sub-semilattice by Theorem 2.2 (iii), since for τ_1, τ_2 in $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ we have that

$$(\tau_1 \vee \tau_2) \vee \tau_{ap} = (\tau_1 \vee \tau_{ap}) \vee (\tau_2 \vee \tau_{ap}) = \tau_1 \vee \tau_2.$$

The topology $\tau_G \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$, so (2.6) tells us that

$$(2.10) \quad \tau_G \vee \tau = \tau_G \text{ for any } \tau \text{ in } \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G).$$

Thus $\{\tau_G\}$ is an *ideal* in $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$. Moreover, the almost periodic topology τ_{ap} is the unit for $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ by Theorem 2.2 (iii).

We note that $\mathcal{T}_{nq}^0(G)$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ by (2.7). Thus G is a *maximally almost periodic* group, i.e. $\eta_{ap} : G \rightarrow G^{ap}$ is injective so $\tau_{ap} \in \mathcal{T}_0(G)$, exactly when $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G) = \mathcal{T}_{nq}^0(G)$. This fact will be used in Corollary 3.6 and Theorem 4.7.

3. THE SPINE $A^*(G)$

3.1. Definition of the Spine. If $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(G)$ we let

$$(3.1) \quad A_\tau(G) = A(G_\tau) \circ \eta_\tau \subseteq B(G).$$

Since $\eta_\tau : G \rightarrow G_\tau$ is a homomorphism with dense range, $A_\tau(G)$ is a closed subalgebra of $B(G)$ which is isometrically isomorphic to $A(G_\tau)$ by [1, 2.10]. To be more precise, if $\lambda_\tau = \lambda_{G_\tau} \circ \eta_\tau : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L^2(G_\tau))$, where λ_{G_τ} is the left regular representation of G_τ , then

$$A_\tau(G) = A_{\lambda_\tau}$$

the space of coefficient functions for λ_τ . Thus $u \mapsto u \circ \eta_\tau : A(G_\tau) \rightarrow A_{\lambda_\tau}$ is a complete isometry, for its adjoint map $VN_{\lambda_\tau} \rightarrow VN(G_\tau)$ is a $*$ -isomorphism.

We let

$$(3.2) \quad A^*(G) = \overline{\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}(G)} A_\tau(G)}.$$

and call this subspace the *spine* of $B(G)$. Moreover, we let

$$(3.3) \quad A_0^*(G) = \overline{\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0(G)} A_\tau(G)}.$$

and call it the *reduced spine*.

3.2. Multiplicative Properties. Let us first establish that $A^*(G)$ is an algebra. This is an extension of the fact that each $A_\tau(G) \cong A(G_\tau)$ is itself an algebra.

Proposition 3.1. *If $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}(G)$ then $A_{\tau_1}(G)A_{\tau_2}(G) \subseteq A_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}(G)$. In fact*

$$\overline{\text{span}} A_{\tau_1}(G)A_{\tau_2}(G) = A_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}(G).$$

Proof. Let $u_j \in A_{\tau_j}(G)$ for $j = 1, 2$. Since $A_{\tau_j}(G) = A_{\lambda_{\tau_j}}$ there are ξ_j, η_j in $L^2(G_{\tau_j})$ such that $u_j = \langle \lambda_{\tau_j}(\cdot) \xi_j | \eta_j \rangle$. Then

$$u_1 u_2 = \langle \lambda_{\tau_1} \otimes \lambda_{\tau_2}(\cdot) \xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 | \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \rangle.$$

Since $L^2(G_{\tau_1}) \otimes L^2(G_{\tau_2}) \cong L^2(G_{\tau_1 \times \tau_2})$, we have a unitary equivalence $\lambda_{\tau_1} \otimes \lambda_{\tau_2} \cong \lambda_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}$. Thus $A_{\tau_1}(G)A_{\tau_2}(G) \subseteq A_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}(G)$. By the operator projective tensor product formula of [15] we obtain (completely) isometric identifications

$$A_{\tau_1}(G) \widehat{\otimes} A_{\tau_2}(G) \cong A(G_{\tau_1}) \widehat{\otimes} A(G_{\tau_2}) \cong A(G_{\tau_1 \times \tau_2}).$$

The multiplication map $A_{\tau_1}(G) \widehat{\otimes} A_{\tau_2}(G) \rightarrow A_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}(G)$ is thus isomorphic to the restriction map $w \mapsto w|_{G_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}} : A(G_{\tau_1 \times \tau_2}) \rightarrow A(G_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2})$. However, the restriction map is surjective by [39, Theorem 3], or [21]. $\square$

Recall that $B(G)$ has an involution on it given by pointwise complex conjugation. Thus a $*$ -subspace of $B(G)$ is any subspace closed under this involution.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(G)$. A subset $\mathcal{A}$ of $A_\tau(G)$ is a translation invariant, closed $*$ -subalgebra if, and only if, there exists a quotient topology τ_0 of τ in $\mathcal{T}(G)$ such that $\mathcal{A} = A_{\tau_0}(G)$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{A}$ is point separating on G , i.e. $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0(G)$, then $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{T}_0(G)$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{A}$ is translation invariant, closed $*$ -subalgebra of $A_\tau(G)$, then there must be a corresponding translation invariant, closed $*$ -subalgebra of $A(G_\tau)$. By [3, Theorem 2.1], every translation invariant, closed $*$ -subalgebra of $A(G_\tau)$ is of the form $A(G_\tau : K)$, the subalgebra of functions which are constant on cosets of a compact normal subgroup K . The composition of η_τ

with the quotient map $G_\tau \rightarrow G_\tau/K$ gives a topology τ_0 which is a quotient of τ . Moreover, we obtain the identifications

$$\mathcal{A} = A(G_\tau : K) \circ \eta_\tau = A(G_\tau/K) \circ \eta_{\tau_0} = A_{\tau_0}(G).$$

If $\mathcal{A}$ is point separating, then we see that $\eta_\tau(G) \cap K = \{e\}$ so $N_{\tau_0} = \{e\}$, so $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{T}_0(G)$.

On the other hand, it follows from the above equation that for any quotient τ_0 of τ , $A_{\tau_0}(G)$ translation invariant, closed $*$ -subalgebra of $A_\tau(G)$. $\square$

To expand the next result, let us introduce another class of subspaces of $B(G)$. If $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(G)$ let

$$B_\tau(G) = B(G_\tau) \circ \eta_\tau.$$

Just as with $A_\tau(G)$, we have that $B_\tau(G) \cong B(G_\tau)$ completely isometrically.

Proposition 3.3. *If $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}(G)$ the the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2$,
- (ii) $A_{\tau_1}(G)A_{\tau_2}(G) \subseteq A_{\tau_2}(G)$,
- (iii) $A_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}(G) = A_{\tau_2}(G)$,
- (iv) $A_{\tau_1}(G) \subseteq B_{\tau_2}(G)$, and
- (v) $B_{\tau_1}(G) \subseteq B_{\tau_2}(G)$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (v) $B(G_{\tau_2}) \supseteq B(G_{\tau_1}) \circ \eta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2}$. Hence

$$B_{\tau_2}(G) = B(G_{\tau_2}) \circ \eta_{\tau_2} \supseteq B(G_{\tau_1}) \circ (\eta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \circ \eta_{\tau_2}) = B(G_{\tau_1}) \circ \eta_{\tau_1} = B_{\tau_1}(G).$$

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) Trivial.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $A_{\tau_2}(G)$ is an ideal in $B_{\tau_2}(G)$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) By Proposition 3.1 we obtain that $A_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}(G) \subseteq A_{\tau_2}(G)$. Hence we have that $A_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}(G)$ is a $*$ -subalgebra of $A(G_{\tau_2})$, which is translation invariant modulo N_τ . Thus, by Lemma 3.2, $\tau_1 \vee \tau_2$ is a quotient of τ_2 , so $\tau_1 \vee \tau_2 \subseteq \tau_2$. This implies that $\tau_1 \vee \tau_2 = \tau_2$, so $\tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2$.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\tau_1 \vee \tau_2 = \tau_2$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) This follows Proposition 3.1. $\square$

3.3. Decomposition of $A^*(G)$. We cannot expect in the definition (3.2) that a direct sum decomposition obtains, as the next lemma shows. We will see in Theorem 3.5, that we may obtain a direct sum if we omit non-trivial quotient topologies.

Lemma 3.4. *If $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}(G)$, then either $A_{\tau_1}(G) \cap A_{\tau_2}(G) = \{0\}$, or each τ_j ($j = 1, 2$) is a quotient of a topology τ_{nq} and $A_{\tau_j}(G) \subseteq A_{\tau_{nq}}(G)$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{A} = A_{\tau_1}(G) \cap A_{\tau_2}(G) \neq \{0\}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a translation invariant, $*$ -subalgebra of each $A_{\tau_j}(G)$, $j = 1, 2$. Thus it follows Lemma 3.2 that $\mathcal{A} = A_\tau(G)$, where τ is a mutual quotient of τ_1 and τ_2 . It thus follows Theorem 2.2 (ii) that each of τ_1 and τ_2 is a quotient of τ_{nq} . It thus follows Lemma 3.2, again, that $A_{\tau_j}(G) \subseteq A_{\tau_{nq}}(G)$ for each j . $\square$

Recall the definition of $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ is given in (2.9). We also define

$$\mathcal{T}_{nq}^0(G) = \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G) \cap \mathcal{T}_0(G).$$

Theorem 3.5. *The following direct sum decompositions obtain:*

$$A^*(G) = \ell^1\text{-}\bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)} A_\tau(G) \quad \text{and} \quad A_0^*(G) = \ell^1\text{-}\bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}^0(G)} A_\tau(G).$$

Proof. First note that by Theorem 2.2, for every τ in $\mathcal{T}(G)$ there is a τ_{nq} in $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ such that τ is a quotient of τ_{nq} . It is clear that $\tau_{nq} \in \mathcal{T}_0(G)$ if $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0(G)$. Hence, by Lemma 3.2, $A_\tau(G) \subseteq A_{\tau_{nq}}(G)$. Thus $\overline{\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)} A_\tau(G)} = A^*(G)$ and $\overline{\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}^0(G)} A_\tau(G)} = A_0^*(G)$. These sums are direct sums, since by Lemma 3.4, if $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$, then $A_{\tau_1}(G) \cap A_{\tau_2}(G) = \{0\}$. The direct sums are ℓ^1 -direct sums by [1, 3.13]. $\square$

We recall from §2.4 that $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{nq}^0(G)$ admit particular semigroup structures.

Corollary 3.6. (i) $A^*(G)$ is a unital Banach algebra which is graded over the semilattice $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$, i.e. for τ_1, τ_2 in $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$, $A_{\tau_1}(G)A_{\tau_2}(G) \subseteq A_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}(G)$.

(ii) $A_0^*(G)$ is graded over the semilattice $\mathcal{T}_{nq}^0(G)$ and is an ideal in $A^*(G)$. When G is maximally almost periodic, $A^*(G) = A_0^*(G)$.

Proof. This follows from the theorem above, Lemma 3.2 Proposition 3.1, and §2.4. $\square$

It is shown in [12] that for a semi-simple Lie group with finite centre G , that $B(G)$ can itself be written as a graded Banach algebra over a finite lattice, whose summands have computable spectra.

4. CHARACTERS AND HOMOMORPHISMS

The general form of this section was inspired by the work of Inoue [26, 27]. All of the major results we obtain in the first 3 subsections below were obtained for abelian groups in [26]. Since any abelian group G is maximally almost periodic, $A^*(G) = A_0^*(G)$ in that case. Despite that our results are more general than those of Inoue, our proofs are often simplified by our context.

4.1. The Spectrum of $A^*(G)$. We recall that $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$, as defined in (2.9), is a directed set via inclusion. We say that a subset $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ is *hereditary* if for any τ_0 in $\mathcal{S}$, the set

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\tau_0} = \{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G) : \tau \subseteq \tau_0\}$$

is contained in $\mathcal{S}$. Notice that each set $\mathcal{S}_{\tau_0}$ is hereditary and directed. We define

$$\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G) = \{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G) : \mathcal{S} \text{ is hereditary, directed and non-empty}\}.$$

Now if $\mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$, we let $G_{\mathcal{S}} = \varprojlim_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} G_{\tau}$ as in (2.8). We then let

$$G^* = \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)} G_{\mathcal{S}}$$

where we use $\sqcup$ to denote coproduct.

We have from Theorem 3.5 that if $u \in A^*(G)$, then there exists for each τ in $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ a unique u_{τ} in $A_{\tau}(G)$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad u = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)} u_{\tau} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\| = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)} \|u_{\tau}\|.$$

For each τ , (3.1) shows that there exists a unique $\hat{u}_{\tau}$ in $A(G_{\tau})$ such that $\hat{u}_{\tau} \circ \eta_{\tau} = u_{\tau}$. In fact, $u_{\tau} \mapsto \hat{u}_{\tau}$ is the Gelfand transform of $A_{\tau}(G)$.

A *character* on an abelian complex algebra is a non-zero multiplicative linear functional into the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 4.1. (i) *If $s \in G^*$, say $s = (s_{\tau})_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} \in G_{\mathcal{S}}$ for some $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$, then the functional defined by*

$$\chi_s \left(\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)} u_{\tau} \right) = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} \hat{u}_{\tau}(s_{\tau})$$

is a character on $A^(G)$.*

(ii) *If $\chi : A^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a character, then there exists an s in G^* such that $\chi = \chi_s$.*

Thus the map $s \mapsto \chi_s$ is a bijection from G^ into the Gelfand spectrum of $A^*(G)$.*

Proof. Our proof will rely on the following formula: if $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$, $u_j \in A_{\tau_j}(G)$ for $j = 1, 2$, and $t \in G_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}$ then

$$(4.3) \quad \widehat{u_1 u_2}(t) = \hat{u}_1(\eta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}(t)) \hat{u}_2(\eta_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}(t)).$$

To see this let (t_i) be a net from G such that $\lim_i \eta_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}(t_i) = t$. Then for each $j = 1, 2$ we have that $\lim_i \eta_{\tau_j}(t_i) = \lim_i \eta_{\tau_j}^{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2} \circ \eta_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}(t_i) = \eta_{\tau_j}^{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}(t)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{u_1 u_2}(t) &= \lim_i \widehat{u_1 u_2}(\eta_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}(t_i)) = \lim_i u_1 u_2(t_i) = \lim_i u_1(t_i) u_2(t_i) \\ &= \lim_i \hat{u}_1(\eta_{\tau_1}(t_i)) \hat{u}_2(\eta_{\tau_2}(t_i)) = \hat{u}_1(\eta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}(t)) \hat{u}_2(\eta_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}(t)). \end{aligned}$$

We note that if $\tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2$, then (4.3) reduces to

$$(4.4) \quad \widehat{u_1 u_2}(t) = \hat{u}_1(\eta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2}(t)) \hat{u}_2(t).$$

(i) Since $\mathcal{S}$ is non-empty, $\chi_s|_{A_{\tau}(G)} \neq 0$ for some τ in $\mathcal{S}$, so $\chi_s \neq 0$. Using (4.2) it is straightforward to verify that χ_s is linear and continuous. It

remains to verify that χ_s is multiplicative. If $u, v \in A^*(G)$, then by (4.2) we obtain

$$uv = \left(\sum_{\tau_1 \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)} u_{\tau_1} \right) \left(\sum_{\tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)} v_{\tau_2} \right) = \sum_{\tau_1 \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)} \sum_{\tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)} u_{\tau_1} v_{\tau_2}$$

where the double sum converges absolutely. Since by Corollary 3.6, $u_{\tau_1} v_{\tau_2} \in A_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}(G)$ we obtain that

$$\chi_s(uv) = \sum_{\tau_1 \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)} \sum_{\tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)} \widehat{u_{\tau_1} v_{\tau_2}}(s_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}).$$

By definition of G_S we see that $\eta_{\tau_j}^{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}(s_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2}) = s_{\tau_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$. It then follows from (4.3) that

$$\chi_s(uv) = \sum_{\tau_1 \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)} \sum_{\tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)} \hat{u}_{\tau_1}(s_{\tau_1}) \hat{v}_{\tau_2}(s_{\tau_2})$$

which is easily seen to be $\chi_s(u)\chi_s(v)$.

Any pair of distinct points s and s' in G^* form distinct characters χ_s and $\chi_{s'}$. Indeed, if $s_{\tau} \neq s'_{\tau}$, then $\chi_s|_{A_{\tau}(G)} \neq \chi_{s'}|_{A_{\tau}(G)}$.

(ii) If $\chi : A^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a character then let

$$\mathcal{S}_{\chi} = \{ \tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G) : \chi|_{A_{\tau}(G)} \neq 0 \}.$$

It follows from Corollary 3.6 that $\mathcal{S}_{\chi}$ is hereditary and directed. Since for each τ in $\mathcal{S}_{\chi}$, $\chi|_{A_{\tau}(G)}$ is a character, we may define s_{τ} by the relation

$$\hat{u}(s_{\tau}) = \chi(u)$$

for each u in $A_{\tau}(G)$. If $\tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2$ in $\mathcal{S}_{\chi}$ then we have for $u_j \in A_{\tau_j}(G)$, $j = 1, 2$, that

$$\hat{u}_1(s_{\tau_1}) \hat{u}_2(s_{\tau_2}) = \chi(u_1) \chi(u_2) = \chi(u_1 u_2) = \widehat{u_1 u_2}(s_{\tau_2}).$$

Applying (4.4), we then obtain

$$\hat{u}_1(s_{\tau_1}) \hat{u}_2(s_{\tau_2}) = \hat{u}_1(\eta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2}(s_{\tau_2})) \hat{u}_2(s_{\tau_2}).$$

Since this holds for all choices $u_j \in A_{\tau_j}(G)$, $j = 1, 2$, it follows that $s_{\tau_1} = \eta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2}(s_{\tau_2})$. Hence $s = (s_{\tau})_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\chi}} \in G_{\mathcal{S}_{\chi}}$, and $\chi = \chi_s$. $\square$

Theorem 4.2. *Let a topology on G^* be given as follows: given any s_0 in G^* , say $s_0 \in G_{\mathcal{S}_0}$ for some $\mathcal{S}_0$ in $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$, a neighbourhood basis at s_0 is formed by*

$$\left\{ U(V_{\tau_0}; W_{\tau_1}, \dots, W_{\tau_n}) : \begin{array}{l} \tau_0 \in \mathcal{S}_0, V_{\tau_0} \text{ is an open nbhd. of } s_{0, \tau_0} \text{ in } G_{\tau_0}; \\ \tau_j \notin \mathcal{S}_0, G_{\tau_j} \setminus W_{\tau_j} \text{ is compact in } G_{\tau_j}, j = 1, \dots, n \end{array} \right\}$$

where

$$U(V_{\tau_0}; W_{\tau_1}, \dots, W_{\tau_n}) = \left\{ s \in G^* : \begin{array}{l} s \in G_{\mathcal{S}} \text{ for some } \mathcal{S} \supseteq \mathcal{S}_0 \text{ in } \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G), \\ \text{for which } s_{\tau_0} \in V_{\tau_0}, \text{ and} \\ s_{\tau_j} \in W_{\tau_j} \text{ if } \tau_j \in \mathcal{S}, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then this is the topology G^* inherits as the spectrum of $A^*(G)$.

Proof. We note that for a net (s_i) in G^* the following are equivalent:

- (i) $s_i \rightarrow s_0$ in G^* with topology as described above,
- (ii) $s_{i,\tau} \rightarrow \begin{cases} s_{0,\tau} & \text{if } \tau \in \mathcal{S}_0, \\ \infty & \text{if } \tau \notin \mathcal{S}_0 \end{cases}$ and
- (iii) $\chi_{s_i} \rightarrow \chi_{s_0}$ weak* in $A^*(G)^*$.

The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is clear. Now, let $u \in A^*(G)$ and write $u = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)} u_\tau$ as in (4.2). Then we see that

$$\chi_{s_i}(u) = \left(\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_0} \hat{u}_\tau(s_{i,\tau}) + \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_i \setminus \mathcal{S}_0} \hat{u}_\tau(s_{i,\tau}) \right) \xrightarrow{i} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_0} \hat{u}_\tau(s_{0,\tau}) = \chi_{s_0}(u)$$

where $s_i \in G_{\mathcal{S}_i}$ for each i . This shows that (iii) follows (ii). The same calculation shows that (iii) implies (ii), if we select $u = u_\tau$ for a single τ . $\square$

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$. Then*

(i) *the injection $G_{\mathcal{S}} \hookrightarrow G^*$ is continuous when $G_{\mathcal{S}}$ has the projective limit topology $\tau_{\mathcal{S}} = \bigvee_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} \tau$, and*

$$(ii) \overline{G_{\mathcal{S}}} = \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{S}_0 \in \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G), \mathcal{S}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{S}} G_{\mathcal{S}_0}.$$

In particular, the injection $G \rightarrow G^ : s \mapsto (\eta_\tau(s))_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)}$, which is the realisation of G as evaluation functionals, has dense range.*

Proof. (i) Clear, by definition. (ii) Any of the open neighbourhoods of a point s_0 in $\mathcal{S}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ described in the above theorem clearly intersect $G_{\mathcal{S}}$. Conversely, if $s_0 \in G_{\mathcal{S}_0}$ where $\mathcal{S}_0 \not\subseteq \mathcal{S}$, and $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{S}_0 \setminus \mathcal{S}$, then for any neighbourhood V_{τ_0} of s_{τ_0} in G_{τ_0}

$$U(V_{\tau_0}) = \{s \in G^* : s \in G_{\mathcal{S}'} \text{ for some } \mathcal{S}' \supset \mathcal{S}_0 \text{ and } s_{\tau_0} \in V_{\tau_0}\}$$

is a neighbourhood of s_0 which misses $G_{\mathcal{S}}$. $\square$

Let us observe that G^* is a semi-topological semigroup. Let

$$\lambda_* = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)} \lambda_\tau : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \left(\ell^2 - \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)} L^2(G_\tau) \right).$$

Then $A_{\lambda_*} = A^*(G)$, so by [1, 2.2], the von Neumann algebra $VN_{\lambda_*} = \lambda_*(G)''$ is the dual of $A^*(G)$. It follows from Corollary 4.3 that we obtain a bicontinuous semigroup isomorphism $\overline{\lambda_*(G)}^{w^*} \cong G^*$ which continuously extends the map $\lambda_*(s) \mapsto (\eta_\tau(s))_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)}$. The unit ball of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space, is a semi-topological semigroup in the w^* topology. Hence the w^* -closure of any sub(semi)group is a semi-topological semigroup. Let us thus call G^* the *spine compactification* of G . We note that the multiplication on G^* is given by

$$(4.5) \quad (s_\tau)_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_1} (t_\tau)_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_2} = (s_\tau t_\tau)_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_1 \cap \mathcal{S}_2}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2 \in \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$. Hence we have that $G_{\mathcal{S}_1} G_{\mathcal{S}_2} = G_{\mathcal{S}_1 \cap \mathcal{S}_2}$.

4.2. $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$ is Dual to $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$. The set $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$ is a semilattice under the operation $(\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2) \mapsto \mathcal{S}_1 \cap \mathcal{S}_2$. We note that these intersections are always non-empty, for it follows from Theorem 2.2 that $\tau_{ap} \in \mathcal{S}$ for every $\mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$.

The semilattice $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$ is dual to the semilattice $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$. Indeed, if $\omega : \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a non-zero semicharacter – i.e. $\omega(\tau_1 \vee \tau_2) = \omega(\tau_1)\omega(\tau_2)$ – then, since $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ is an idempotent semigroup, we must have that $\omega = 1_{\mathcal{S}_\omega}$, the indicator function of a nonempty subset $\mathcal{S}_\omega$ of $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$. It is straightforward to check that $\mathcal{S}_\omega$ is hereditary and that if $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{S}_\omega$, then $\tau_1 \vee \tau_2 \in \mathcal{S}_\omega$ too. Hence $\mathcal{S}_\omega \in \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$. Moreover, the dual pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G) \times \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ given by

$$\langle \tau, \mathcal{S} \rangle = 1_{\mathcal{S}}(\tau) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \tau \in \mathcal{S} \\ 0 & \text{if } \tau \notin \mathcal{S} \end{cases}$$

satisfies

$$\langle \tau_1 \vee \tau_2, \mathcal{S} \rangle = \langle \tau_1, \mathcal{S} \rangle \langle \tau_2, \mathcal{S} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \tau, \mathcal{S}_1 \cap \mathcal{S}_2 \rangle = \langle \tau, \mathcal{S}_1 \rangle \langle \tau, \mathcal{S}_2 \rangle$$

for τ_1, τ_2, τ in $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ and $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{S}$ in $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$.

On the other hand, if $\Omega : \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a non-zero semicharacter then $\Omega = 1_{\mathfrak{S}}$ for some $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$. The set $\mathcal{S}_\Omega = \bigcap_{\mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{S}} \mathcal{S}$ is non-empty and thus itself in $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$. Thus we have

$$\Omega(\mathcal{S}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{S} \supseteq \mathcal{S}_\Omega \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathcal{S} \not\supseteq \mathcal{S}_\Omega \end{cases}.$$

We may express this as “ $\Omega(\mathcal{S}) = \langle \tau_{\mathcal{S}_\Omega}, \mathcal{S} \rangle$ ”, where $\tau_{\mathcal{S}_\Omega} = \bigvee_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_\Omega} \tau$. Thus, the lattice completion of $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{nq}(G) = \{\tau_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)\}$, is the dual of $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$.

Since any semilattice is a commutative *inverse semigroup*, it is possible to equip $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$, qua the dual of $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$, with a compact semigroup topology – the topology of pointwise convergence as characters – such that $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ represents the set of continuous characters on $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$. In other words, Pontryagin duality holds. See [2] for details. In analogy with Proposition 4.6, below, we can see that $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$ is semilattice isomorphic to the idempotent lattice E in G^* , which is a compact subsemigroup. It is easy to verify that the compact semilattice $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$ is homeomorphic to E . It is curious that in many situations, as in Proposition 4.4 below, that all semicharacters on $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$ are automatically continuous.

We note that the semilattice structure on $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$ gives rise to an infimum construction on $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{nq}(G)$:

$$\tau_{\mathcal{S}_1} \wedge \tau_{\mathcal{S}_2} = \tau_{\mathcal{S}_1 \cap \mathcal{S}_2}.$$

Thus if $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$, then we then have

$$(4.6) \quad \tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 = \tau_{\mathcal{S}_{\tau_1} \cap \mathcal{S}_{\tau_2}}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{\tau_j}$ ($j = 1, 2$) are the principal hereditary directed sets from (4.1).

Proposition 4.4. *The following are equivalent:*

(i) *every $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$ is principal, and*

(ii) *$\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ is a complete semilattice.*

In this case $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ is a lattice, i.e. if $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$, then $\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ too. Moreover, the semilattice $(\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G), \wedge)$ is lattice isomorphic to $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$.

It is shown in §6.3 that condition (ii) does not always hold.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) If $\mathcal{F}$ is any family from $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$, let $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{S}_{\tau_1 \vee \dots \vee \tau_n} : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n \in \mathcal{F}, n = 1, 2, \dots \}$ where each $\mathcal{S}_{\tau_1 \vee \dots \vee \tau_n}$ is the principal set from (4.1). Then $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle \in \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$ and hence there is τ_0 such that $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle = \mathcal{S}_{\tau_0}$. Thus

$$\bigvee_{\tau \in \mathcal{F}} \tau = \bigvee_{\tau \in \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle} \tau = \tau_{\mathcal{S}_{\tau_0}} = \tau_0 \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G).$$

The converse, (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), is trivial.

We obtain the infimum operation on $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ by virtue of (4.6). The lattice isomorphism $(\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G), \wedge) \cong (\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G), \cap)$ follows as well. $\square$

Thus if $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ is a complete lattice we have

$$(4.7) \quad G^* = \bigsqcup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)} G_\tau.$$

In this case, if $s \in G^*$, so $s \in G_{\tau_0}$ for some τ_0 in $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$, the character χ_s it implements on $A^*(G)$, as in Theorem 4.1 (i), is

$$\chi_s(u) = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\tau_0}} \hat{u}_\tau(\eta_\tau^{\tau_0}(s)).$$

Moreover, the semigroup operation (4.5) admits the following formula: if $s_j \in G_{\tau_j}$, $\tau_j \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$, $j = 1, 2$, then

$$(4.8) \quad s_1 s_2 = \eta_{\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2}^{\tau_1}(s_1) \eta_{\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2}^{\tau_2}(s_2).$$

Thus we have $G_{\tau_1} G_{\tau_2} \subseteq G_{\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2}$. In effect, G^* is graded over the semilattice $(\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G), \wedge)$.

4.3. The Spectrum of $A_0^*(G)$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a directed subset of $\mathcal{T}_{nq}^0(G)$. We say that $\mathcal{S}$ is *hereditary* in $\mathcal{T}_{nq}^0(G)$ if $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}' \cap \mathcal{T}_{nq}^0(G)$ for some $\mathcal{S}'$ in $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$. We then let

$$\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}_0(G) = \{ \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{T}_{nq}^0(G) : \mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G) \}$$

We note that if G is not maximally almost periodic, then $\emptyset = \{\tau_{ap}\} \cap \mathcal{T}_{nq}^0(G) \in \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}_0(G)$. Just as in §4.2, above, we find that $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}_0(G)$ is the dual semilattice to $\mathcal{T}_{nq}^0(G)$. We now let

$$(4.9) \quad G_0^* = \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}_0(G)} G_{\mathcal{S}}$$

where $G_{\mathcal{S}}$ is as in (2.8) if $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$ and $G_{\emptyset} = \{\infty\}$.

The following is proved just as in Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.5. *There is a bijective correspondence between*

- (i) G_0^* , and
- (ii) *the set of multiplicative linear functionals on $A_0^*(G)$, as given in Theorem 4.1. If $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}_0(G)$, then ∞ corresponds to the zero functional.*

We gain a description of the compact topology on G_0^* inherits from being the spectrum (or the spectrum's one point compactification) which is similar to Theorem 4.2. We also obtain a multiplication on G_0^* as we do for G^* : if $s_j = (s_{j,\tau})_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_j} \in G_{\mathcal{S}_j}$, $j = 1, 2$, then

$$s_1 s_2 = (s_{1,\tau} s_{2,\tau})_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_1 \cap \mathcal{S}_2}$$

where we write $s_1 s_2 = \infty$ if $\mathcal{S}_1 \cap \mathcal{S}_2 = \emptyset$. We thus call G_0^* the *reduced spine compactification* of G .

Proposition 4.6. (i) *Every idempotent in G_0^* is of the form $e_{\mathcal{S}} = (\eta_{\tau}(e))_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}}$ for some $\mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}_0(G)$, where $e_{\emptyset} = \infty$.*

(ii) *The set of idempotents in G_0^* , E_0 forms a semilattice which is isomorphic to the semilattice $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}_0(G)$. Moreover, E_0 is a closed subsemigroup and thus a topological semilattice.*

(iii) $e_{\mathcal{S}} G_0^* = G_0^* e_{\mathcal{S}} = \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{S}_0 \in \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}_0(G), \mathcal{S}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{S}} G_{\mathcal{S}_0} = \overline{G_{\mathcal{S}}}$, *the closure of $G_{\mathcal{S}}$ in G_0^* .*

Proof. (i) If f is an idempotent in G^* , then $f = (s_{\tau})_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}}$ for some $\mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$. But then $s_{\tau}^2 = s_{\tau}$ for each τ , so $s_{\tau} = \eta_{\tau}(e)$.

(ii) The fact that E_0 is a semilattice, isomorphic to $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}_0(G)$, is clear. To see that it is closed, let (e_i) be a net in E_0 converging to s in G_0^* , so $s = (s_{\tau})_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}}$ for some $\mathcal{S}$. Then we have from the G_0^* -analogue of Theorem 4.2 that $e_i \in G_{\mathcal{S}}$ for large i and $e_{i,\tau} \rightarrow s_{\tau}$ for each $\tau \in \mathcal{S}$. It then follows that $s = e_{\mathcal{S}}$, as in (i). That E_0 is a semi-topological semigroup then follows from [4, 1.4.11].

(iii) The first two equalities are obvious. The third is that same as Corollary 4.3 (ii). $\square$

These idempotents correspond in an obvious way to certain central projections in $\text{VN}_{\lambda_*^0} = \ell^\infty \text{-} \bigoplus_{\tau \in T_{nq}^0(G)} \text{VN}_{\lambda_{\tau}}$. It thus follows that G_0^* is a *Clifford semigroup* presented in a standard form (see [9, Theorem 3] for an intrinsic description mirroring (4.9), or [32, Proposition 2.1.4] for a representation theorem of general inverse semigroups). The idempotents of G^* can be obtained similarly, and it too is a Clifford semigroup.

Recall from Corollary 3.6 that $A_0^*(G)$ is unital if G is maximally almost periodic. This characterises maximal almost periodicity.

Theorem 4.7. *If $A_0^*(G)$ is unital then G is maximally almost periodic.*

Proof. If $A_0^*(G)$ is unital, then by Theorem 4.5 G_0^* is compact and does not contain the zero functional. By Proposition 4.6 (ii) the family E_0 of idempotents is a compact semilattice, and hence there is a minimal idempotent,

e_m . Indeed, e_m is a cluster point of the net $(e)_{e \in E_0}$, partially ordered by $e \leq e'$ if and only if $ee' = e'$; this is the usual partial order, reversed. It also follows from Proposition 4.6 (i) that $e_m = e_{\mathcal{S}_m}$ where $\mathcal{S}_m \in \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}_0(G)$. But, by minimality, $\mathcal{S}_m$ is a singleton $\{\tau_m\}$. Then by Proposition 4.6 (iii), $e_m G_0^* = G_{\tau_m}$ is a compact group, whence G embeds in a compact group. Since $\tau_m \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$, $\tau_m = \tau_{ap}$. $\square$

4.4. Homomorphisms into $B(H)$. The major result of this section is a generalisation of the present authors' theorem characterising completely bounded homomorphisms from $A(G)$ (when G is amenable) to $B(H)$. This result generalised the major result of [11]. The homomorphism theorem we obtain for spines generalises that of [26].

A full account of piecewise affine maps between groups is given in [25], or in [37] in the abelian case. We summarise some basic facts below.

Let H and G_0 be groups. Let $\Omega(H)$ denote the *coset ring* of H , that is, the smallest ring of subsets containing all cosets of subgroups of H . A map $\alpha : Y \subseteq H \rightarrow G_0$ is called *piecewise affine* if

- (i) there are pairwise disjoint Y_j in $\Omega(H)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ such that $Y = \bigcup_{j=1}^n Y_j$, and
- (ii) each Y_j is contained in a coset L_j on which there is an affine map $\alpha_j : L_j \rightarrow G_0$ such that $\alpha_j|_{Y_j} = \alpha|_{Y_j}$.

We recall that an *affine* map $\alpha : C \subseteq H \rightarrow G_0$, where C is a coset, is a map which satisfies

$$\alpha(rs^{-1}t) = \alpha(r)\alpha(s)^{-1}\alpha(t)$$

for any r, s, t in C . We recall too that cosets are characterised by the condition: r, s, t in C implies $rs^{-1}t \in C$.

The following is proved exactly as Lemma 1.3 (ii) in [25]. If H is a topological group we will denote by $\Omega_o(H)$ the smallest ring of subsets containing all open cosets.

Lemma 4.8. *Let H be a locally compact group and G_0 be a complete Hausdorff topological group. The any continuous piecewise affine map $\alpha : Y \subseteq H \rightarrow G_0$ admits a continuous extension $\bar{\alpha} : \bar{Y} \subseteq H \rightarrow G_0$. Moreover, $\bar{Y}$ is open and admits a decomposition as in (ii) above, $\bar{Y} = \bigcup_{j=1}^n Y_j$, where each $Y_j \in \Omega_o(H)$.*

Thus we may hereafter assume that all continuous piecewise affine maps between such pairs of groups have open and closed domains. If $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$ is as above, let us write

$$(4.10) \quad c(\alpha, Y) = n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|1_{Y_j}\| \right)$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm on $B(H)$.

We will now assume for the rest of the section that H is a locally compact group. A map $\alpha : Y \subseteq H \rightarrow G^*$ is called *piecewise affine* if

- (i) there is an $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$ such that $\alpha(Y) \subseteq G_{\mathcal{S}}$, and
- (ii) $\alpha : Y \subseteq H \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{S}}$ is continuous and piecewise affine.

Recall that $G_{\mathcal{S}} = \varprojlim_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} G_{\tau}$ is complete, so the lemma above applies.

Theorem 4.9. (i) *If $\alpha : Y \subseteq H \rightarrow G^*$ is piecewise affine then $\Psi_{\alpha} : A^*(G) \rightarrow B(H)$, given for each u in $A^*(G)$ and h in H by*

$$\Psi_{\alpha}u(h) = \begin{cases} \chi_{\alpha(h)}u & \text{if } h \in Y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a completely bounded homomorphism.

(ii) *If G is amenable, then any completely bounded homomorphism $\Psi : A^*(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is of the form $\Psi = \Psi_{\alpha}$ for some piecewise affine map $\alpha : Y \subseteq H \rightarrow G^*$.*

Proof. (i) Say $\alpha(H) \subseteq G_{\mathcal{S}}$. For each τ in $\mathcal{S}$ we let $\eta_{\tau}^{\mathcal{S}} : G_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow G_{\tau}$ be the continuous injective homomorphism which is guaranteed by the projective limit construction; hence if $\tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2$ in $\mathcal{S}$, then $\eta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \circ \eta_{\tau_2}^{\mathcal{S}} = \eta_{\tau_1}^{\mathcal{S}}$. Then $\alpha_{\tau} = \eta_{\tau}^{\mathcal{S}} \circ \alpha : Y \rightarrow G_{\tau}$ is continuous and piecewise affine. Moreover, we obtain that if $\tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2$ in $\mathcal{S}$, then

$$(4.11) \quad \eta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \circ \alpha_{\tau_2} = \alpha_{\tau_1}.$$

Then for u_{τ} in $A_{\tau}(G)$ and h in H we have

$$\Psi_{\alpha}u_{\tau}(h) = \begin{cases} \hat{u}_{\tau}(\alpha_{\tau}(h)) & \text{if } h \in Y \text{ and } \tau \in \mathcal{S}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Hence by [25], Proposition 3.1, $\Psi_{\alpha}|_{A_{\tau}(G)}$ is a completely bounded homomorphism with $\|\Psi_{\alpha}|_{A_{\tau}(G)}\| \leq c(\alpha, Y)$, where $c(\alpha, Y)$ is defined in (4.10). It then follows Theorem 3.5 that Ψ_{α} extends to a linear completely bounded map on all of $A^*(G)$. Finally, it follows from (4.11) and (4.3) that Ψ_{α} is a homomorphism on $A^*(G)$.

(ii) Let $\mathcal{S}_{\Psi} = \{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G) : \Psi|_{A_{\tau}(G)} \neq 0\}$. It follows from Corollary 3.6 that $\mathcal{S}_{\Psi}$ is hereditary and directed. Hence if $\Psi \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{S}_{\Psi} \in \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{D}(G)$. We note that for any τ in $\mathcal{T}(G)$, G_{τ} is amenable by [38, Proposition 1.2.1]. Thus, by the main result of [25], if $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\Psi}$ then $\Psi|_{A_{\tau}(G)}$ takes the form

$$\Psi u_{\tau}(h) = \begin{cases} \hat{u}_{\tau}(\alpha_{\tau}(h)) & \text{if } h \in Y_{\tau}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for each u_{τ} in $A_{\tau}(G)$, h in H where $\alpha_{\tau} : Y_{\tau} \subseteq H \rightarrow G_{\tau}$ is a continuous piecewise affine map. Since Ψ is a homomorphism, it follows (4.4) that the system $\{\alpha_{\tau} : Y_{\tau} \rightarrow G_{\tau}\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\Psi}}$ satisfies

$$\text{if } \tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2 \text{ in } \mathcal{S}_{\Psi} \text{ then } \eta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \circ \alpha_{\tau_2} = \alpha_{\tau_1}.$$

Moreover, the domains Y_{τ_j} ($j = 1, 2$) must then be equal. Thus we can unambiguously denote each Y_τ by Y . Thus there is a map $\alpha : Y \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{S}_\Psi}$ given by

$$\alpha(h) = (\alpha_\tau(h))_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_\Psi}.$$

This map is also piecewise affine. Indeed, suppose $\tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2$ in $\mathcal{S}_\Psi$, $Y = Y_{\tau_1} = \dot{\bigcup}_{j=1}^n Y_j$, and there exist for each $j = 1, \dots, n$ open cosets $L_j \subseteq Y_j$ and affine maps $\alpha_{\tau_1, j} : L_j \rightarrow G_{\tau_1}$ extending $\alpha_{\tau_1}|_{Y_j}$. Then $\alpha_{\tau_2, j} = \eta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \circ \alpha_{\tau_1, j}$ will be an affine extension of $\alpha_{\tau_2}|_{Y_j}$. $\square$

4.5. The Range of Homomorphisms. The goal of this section is to establish that for any locally compact group H , $A^*(H)$ is that part of $B(H)$ which contains the ranges of each of a natural class of homomorphisms from $A(G)$, where G is another locally compact group. For abelian groups the was proved in [27].

Much of the work from this section is done in the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4.10. *Let H_0 be an open subgroup of a locally compact group H and $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(H_0)$. Then there is a $\bar{\tau}$ in $\mathcal{T}(H)$ such that $\bar{\tau} \cap H_0 = \{U \cap H_0 : U \in \bar{\tau}\} = \tau$, and $(H_0)_\tau$ is bicontinuously isomorphic to $\overline{\eta_{\bar{\tau}}(H_0)}$, which is an open subgroup of $H_{\bar{\tau}}$. Moreover, $\bar{\tau} \in \mathcal{T}_0(H)$ if $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0(H_0)$.*

Proof. Let

$$\bar{\tau} = \{U \subseteq H : s^{-1}(U \cap sH_0) \in \tau \text{ for every } s \text{ in } G\}.$$

Then $\bar{\tau} \cap H_0 = \tau$, and $\bar{\tau}$ is a locally precompact group topology on H , since it has a neighbourhood basis of precompact neighbourhoods about e in H_0 . It is clear that $\overline{\eta_{\bar{\tau}}(H_0)} \cong (H_0)_\tau$. It is trivial to note that $\bar{\tau}$ is Hausdorff if τ is.

Now suppose that $s_0 \in \overline{\eta_{\bar{\tau}}(H_0)}$. Let (t_j) be a net from H such that $\lim_j \eta_{\bar{\tau}}(t_j) = s_0$. Let (s_i) be a net from H_0 such that $\lim_i \eta_\tau(s_i) = s_0$. Then if we consider the product net $(s_i^{-1}t_j)$ we have that $\lim_{(i,j)} \eta_{\bar{\tau}}(s_i^{-1}t_j) = e_\tau$, whence $\bar{\tau}\text{-}\lim_{(i,j)} s_i^{-1}t_j = e$. Thus we see that for large (i, j) we have $s_i^{-1}t_j \in H_0$, so for large j we obtain $t_j \in s_i H_0 = H_0$. Hence the net (t_j) is eventually contained in H_0 , so $(\eta_{\bar{\tau}}(t_j))$ is eventually contained in $\overline{\eta_{\bar{\tau}}(H_0)}$. Since $\eta_{\bar{\tau}}(H)$ is dense in $H_{\bar{\tau}}$, this is sufficient to see that $\overline{\eta_{\bar{\tau}}(H_0)}$ is open. $\square$

Immediately, we obtain a restriction theorem.

Theorem 4.11. *If H_0 is an open subgroup of a locally compact group H , then $A^*(H)|_{H_0} = A^*(H_0)$ and $A_0^*(H)|_{H_0} = A_0^*(H_0)$.*

Proof. It is clear that if $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(H)$ then $\tau \cap H_0 = \{U \cap H_0 : U \in \tau\} \in \mathcal{T}(H_0)$. Thus it follows for each $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(H)$ that $A_\tau(H)|_{H_0} \subseteq A_{\tau \cap H_0}(H_0)$. Hence it follows from Theorem 3.5 that $A^*(H)|_{H_0} \subseteq A^*(H_0)$. Now if $u \in A^*(H_0)$,

from Theorem 3.5 we may find for each τ in $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(H_0)$ a unique u_τ in $A_\tau(H_0)$ such that

$$u = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(H_0)} u_\tau \quad \text{where} \quad \|u\| = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(H_0)} \|u_\tau\|.$$

Each u_τ corresponds to a function $\hat{u}_\tau$ in $A((H_0)_\tau)$. By Lemma 4.10, above, we can identify $(H_0)_\tau$ as an open subgroup of $H_{\bar{\tau}}$. Let $\hat{v}_\tau$ in $A(H_{\bar{\tau}})$ be so $\hat{v}_\tau = \hat{u}_\tau$ on $(H_0)_\tau$, and $\hat{v}_\tau = 0$ off $(H_0)_\tau$. Then let v_τ be the corresponding element of $A_{\bar{\tau}}(H)$; we have that $\|v_\tau\| = \|\hat{v}_\tau\| = \|u_\tau\|$. If $v = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(H_0)} v_\tau$, then $v \in A^*(H)$ with $\|v\| \leq \|u\|$, and $v|_{H_0} = u$.

We obtain the result for $A_0^*(H)$ since both of the operations $\tau \mapsto \tau \cap H_0$ on $\mathcal{T}(H)$, and $\tau \mapsto \bar{\tau}$ on $\mathcal{T}(H_0)$ create Hausdorff topologies from Hausdorff topologies. $\square$

We note that we cannot expect that $A^*(H)|_{H_0} = A^*(H_0)$ when H_0 is closed, but not open in H . See (6.3).

Another immediate application of Lemma 4.10 is the following theorem. Let us recall that a *coset* K of G is a set which satisfies the relation

$$(4.12) \quad r, s, t \in C \quad \text{implies} \quad rs^{-1}t \in C.$$

Moreover, $K^{-1}K$ and KK^{-1} are then subgroups for which $sK^{-1}K = KK^{-1}s = K$ for each $s \in K$. See [25], Proposition 1.1. Thus it follows that a non-empty intersection of a family of cosets is itself a coset.

Theorem 4.12. *Every idempotent in $B(H)$ is an element of $A^*(H)$.*

We note that for abelian groups this result is [40, 8.1.4]. There the result is proved independently of Cohen's idempotent theorem [10], and in fact is used to deduce it. We use the idempotent theorem of Host [23].

Proof. Let us first consider an idempotent of the form 1_{H_0} where H_0 is an open subgroup of H . If we let τ_{ap}^0 denote the topology on H_0 induced by its almost periodic compactification, then $\tau_0 = \overline{\tau_{ap}^0}$, from Lemma 4.10, is such that $1_{H_0} \in A_{\tau_0}(H)$. Note that for cosets we have $1_{tH_0} = t*1_{H_0}$ and $1_{H_0t} = 1_{H_0}*t$ (where $t*u(s) = u(t^{-1}s)$ and $u*t(s) = u(st^{-1})$ for t, s in H and u a function), so $1_{tH_0}, 1_{H_0t} \in A_{\tau_0}(H)$.

By [23] each idempotent is of the form 1_Y , where $Y \in \Omega_o(H)$, the ring generated by open cosets of H . For such Y , we can find open cosets $K_{ij} \subset L_i$, for $j = 1, \dots, n_i$ for which $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \left(L_i \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{l_i} K_{ij} \right)$. Let $H_1, \dots, H_n$ be a collection of open subgroups for which each of the non-empty cosets $L_i, K_{ij_1} \cap \dots \cap K_{ij_p}$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_p \leq l_i$, is a coset of one of these groups. Letting $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ be the topologies created as τ_0 in the above paragraph, we see that

$$1_Y = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(1_{L_i} + \sum_{p=1}^{l_i} (-1)^p \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_p \leq l_i} 1_{K_{ij_1} \cap \dots \cap K_{ij_p}} \right) \in \sum_{m=1}^n A_{\tau_m}(H)$$

and hence $1_Y \in A^*(H)$. $\square$

If G and H are both locally compact groups, then *continuous piecewise affine* maps, $\alpha : Y \subseteq H \rightarrow G$ where $Y \in \Omega_o(H)$, were defined in §4.4. Given such a map α , we define $\Phi_\alpha : A(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ by

$$\Phi_\alpha u(s) = \begin{cases} u(\alpha(s)) & \text{if } s \in Y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By [25, Proposition 3.1], Φ_α is always a completely bounded homomorphism. These form the nicest class of homomorphisms from $A(G)$ to $B(H)$, and were first studied for abelian groups in [11].

Theorem 4.13. *If G and H are locally compact groups, $\alpha : Y \subseteq H \rightarrow G$ is a continuous piecewise affine map and $\Phi_\alpha : A(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ the homomorphism implemented by α , then there is a finite sequence $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ from $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(H)$ such that $\Phi_\alpha(A(G)) \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_{\tau_i}(H)$. Hence $A^*(H)$ contains the range of every such homomorphism.*

Proof. First suppose that Y is an open subgroup of H and $\alpha : Y \rightarrow G$ is a continuous homomorphism. Then the topology $\tau = \alpha^{-1}(\tau_G)$ is a locally precompact group topology on Y , whence by Lemma 4.10 there is a locally precompact group topology $\bar{\tau}$ on H which extends τ . It then follows from Theorem 4.11 that $A_{\bar{\tau}}(H)|_Y = A_\tau(Y)$. Hence $\Phi_\alpha(A(G)) = A_\tau(Y) \subseteq A_{\bar{\tau}}(H) \subseteq A_{\bar{\tau} \vee \tau_{ap}}(H)$.

Now suppose that Y is an open coset of H and $\alpha : Y \rightarrow G$ is a continuous affine map. Then if $H_0 = Y^{-1}Y$ is an open subgroup of H with $Y = s_0 H_0$ for any s_0 in Y . Moreover $\tilde{\alpha}(s) = \alpha(s_0)^{-1} \alpha(s_0 s)$ is a continuous homomorphism on H_0 . Then for u in $A(G)$ we see that $\Phi_\alpha u(s) = \alpha(s_0) * \Phi_{\tilde{\alpha}}(s_0^{-1} * u)$, where $t * v(s) = v(t^{-1}s)$ for a group element t and function v . Letting $\tau = \tilde{\alpha}(\tau_{G})$ on Y and $\bar{\tau}$ its extension to H , we have by translation invariance of $A_{\bar{\tau}}(H)$ that $\Phi_\alpha(A(G)) = \Phi_{\tilde{\alpha}}(A(G)) \subseteq A_{\bar{\tau}}(H) \subseteq A_{\bar{\tau} \vee \tau_{ap}}(H)$.

Now we suppose that $\alpha : Y \rightarrow G$ is a general continuous piecewise affine map. Thus we can partition $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^m Y_i$ where each $Y_i \in \Omega_o(H)$ and there are open cosets $L_i \supseteq Y_i$ and continuous affine maps $\alpha_i : L_i \rightarrow G$ so $\alpha|_{Y_i} = \alpha_i|_{Y_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Thus we have that

$$(4.13) \quad \Phi_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^m \Phi_{\alpha|_{Y_i}}.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 4.12 above, we can find open cosets L_i and subsets $K_{i1}, \dots, K_{in_i}$ of L_i which are also open cosets such that $Y_i = L_i \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_i} K_{ij}$. But it then follows for each $i = 1, \dots, m$ that

$$(4.14) \quad \Phi_{\alpha|_{Y_i}} = \Phi_{\alpha_i} + \sum_{p=1}^{n_i} (-1)^p \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_p \leq n_i} \Phi_{\alpha_i|_{K_{ij_1} \cap \dots \cap K_{ij_p}}}$$

where we let $\Phi_{\alpha_i|_{K_{ij_1} \cap \dots \cap K_{ij_p}}} = 0$ if $K_{ij_1} \cap \dots \cap K_{ij_p} = \emptyset$. Since each $\alpha_i|_{K_{ij_1} \cap \dots \cap K_{ij_p}}$ is affine, we see that there are topologies $\tau_i, \tau_{i;j_1}, \dots, \tau_{i;1, \dots, n_i}$ in $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(H)$ such that

$$\Phi_{\alpha_i}(A(G)) \subseteq A_{\tau_i}(H) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{\alpha_i|_{K_{ij_1} \cap \dots \cap K_{ij_p}}}(A(G)) \subseteq A_{\tau_{i;j_1, \dots, j_p}}(H)$$

for $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_p \leq n_i$ and $p = 1, \dots, n_i$. Hence combining (4.13) and (4.14), we obtain

$$\Phi_{\alpha}(A(G)) \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^m \left(A_{\tau_i}(H) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_p \leq n_i} A_{\tau_{i;j_1, \dots, j_p}}(H) \right).$$

Let $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ be an enumeration of of this set of topologies and we are done. $\square$

Corollary 4.14. *Let G and H be locally compact groups, such that G is amenable.*

(i) *If $\Phi : A(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely bounded homomorphism then there is a finite sequence $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ from $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(H)$ such that*

$$\Phi(A(G)) \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_{\tau_i}(H).$$

(ii) *If $\Phi : A^*(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely bounded homomorphism then $\Phi(A^*(G)) \subseteq A^*(H)$.*

Proof. (i) This follows from the theorem above and the main result of [25].

(ii) By Theorem 3.5 we have

$$A^*(G) = \ell^1\text{-}\bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)} A_{\tau}(G) \cong \ell^1\text{-}\bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)} A(G_{\tau}).$$

Each G_{τ} is amenable by [38, Proposition 1.2.1], so $\Phi|_{A_{\tau}(G)}$ thus induces a completely bounded homomorphism $\Phi_{\tau} : A(G_{\tau}) \rightarrow B(H)$. By (i) $\Phi_{\tau}(A(G_{\tau})) \subseteq A^*(H)$, so $\Phi(A_{\tau}(G)) \subseteq A^*(H)$. The result follows. $\square$

Note that for an abelian H , it follows from [40, 8.2.4], and Theorem 5.1, below, that every invertible element u in $B(H)$ is of the form $u = v_1 \dots v_n e^w$ where $v_i \in A_{\tau_i}(H) \oplus \mathbb{C}1$ for some τ_i in $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(H)$. It would be interesting to determine if this is true in general. It seems likely that completing the investigation begun in [41] might resolve this question.

5. ABELIAN GROUPS

In this section we will let G be a locally compact *abelian* group. We let $\widehat{G}$ denote the *dual group* and $\tau_{\widehat{G}}$ its topology. If $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(G)$ then we let $\widehat{G}_{\tau}$ denote the dual group of G_{τ} and $\hat{\tau}$ the topology on $\widehat{G}_{\tau}$.

Non-quotient topologies on abelian groups admit a particularly appealing description. We note that abelian groups are maximally almost periodic since $\widehat{G}$ separates points on G . Moreover, we have that

$$(5.1) \quad G^{ap} = \widehat{(\widehat{G}_d)}$$

where $\widehat{G}_d$ is the topological group $\widehat{G}$ made discrete.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(G)$. Then $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ if and only if $\widehat{G}_\tau = \widehat{G}$ and $\hat{\tau} \supseteq \tau_{\widehat{G}}$. Moreover, any locally compact group topology on $\widehat{G}$ which is finer than $\tau_{\widehat{G}}$ is of the form $\hat{\tau}$ for some τ in $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$.*

Proof. First, we see that if $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(G)$ then the homomorphism $\eta_\tau : G \rightarrow G_\tau$ has dense range, so the dual map $\hat{\eta}_\tau : \widehat{G}_\tau \rightarrow \widehat{G}$, given by $\hat{\eta}_\tau(\sigma) = \sigma \circ \eta_\tau$, is injective.

Now suppose that $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ so $\tau = \tau \vee \tau_{ap}$ by Theorem 2.2 (iii). Thus we get the diagram

$$(5.2) \quad G \xrightarrow{\eta_{\tau \vee \tau_{ap}}} G_{\tau \vee \tau_{ap}} \xrightarrow{\iota} G_\tau \times G^{ap}$$

where ι is the injection. Then (5.1) and the Pontryagin duality theorem tell us that $\widehat{G^{ap}} \cong \widehat{G}_d$. Hence (5.2) admits dual diagram

$$\widehat{G_\tau} \times \widehat{G_d} \xrightarrow{(\sigma_\tau, \sigma) \mapsto \sigma_\tau \times \sigma} \widehat{G_\tau \times G^{ap}} \xrightarrow{r} \widehat{G_{\tau \vee \tau_{ap}}} \xrightarrow{\hat{\eta}_{\tau \vee \tau_{ap}}} \widehat{G}$$

where r is the restriction map, which is surjective by [22, 24.12], and $\sigma_\tau \times \sigma$ is the Kroenecker product of the characters σ_τ and σ . If $\sigma \in \widehat{G}$ then for any s in G we have that $1_\tau \times \sigma(\eta_{\tau \vee \tau_{ap}}(s)) = \sigma(s)$ which shows that $\hat{\eta}_{\tau \vee \tau_{ap}}$ is surjective.

Thus we see that $\hat{\eta}_\tau : \widehat{G} \rightarrow \widehat{G}_\tau$ is continuous and bijective. It follows that $\hat{\tau} \supseteq \tau_{\widehat{G}}$.

Now let us suppose that $\widehat{G}_\tau = \widehat{G}$. Then there is a natural bicontinuous bijection between the diagonal subgroup of $\widehat{G_\tau} \times \widehat{G_d}$ and $\widehat{G_\tau}$. However, the diagonal subgroup of $\widehat{G_\tau} \times \widehat{G_d}$ is exactly $\widehat{G_{\tau \vee \tau_{ap}}}$. Thus $\widehat{G_\tau} \cong \widehat{G_{\tau \vee \tau_{ap}}}$, bicontinuously. Using Pontryagin duality we see that $G_\tau \cong G_{\tau \vee \tau_{ap}}$, bicontinuously, so $\tau = \tau \vee \tau_{ap}$, whence $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ by Theorem 2.2 (iii).

Finally, if $\tilde{\tau}$ is any locally compact group topology on $\widehat{G}$ which is finer than $\tau_{\widehat{G}}$, then the continuous bijection $\text{id}_{\widehat{G}} : (\widehat{G}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ has dual map $\eta : G \rightarrow (\widehat{G}, \tilde{\tau})$, and induces a locally precompact topology τ on G for which $\tilde{\tau} = \hat{\tau}$. It follows from above that $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$. $\square$

It is worth noting the dual to our lattice operation on $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$.

Corollary 5.2. *If $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ then $\widehat{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2} = \hat{\tau}_1 \cap \hat{\tau}_2$ on $\widehat{G}$.*

Proof. For $j = 1, 2$ the homomorphism $\eta_{\tau_j}^{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2} : G_{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2} \rightarrow G_{\tau_j}$ has continuous surjective dual map $\hat{\eta}_{\tau_j}^{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2} : (\widehat{G}, \hat{\tau}_j) \rightarrow (\widehat{G}, \widehat{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2})$, so $\widehat{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2} \subseteq \hat{\tau}_j$. Hence $\widehat{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2} \subseteq \hat{\tau}_1 \cap \hat{\tau}_2$. However, $\hat{\tau}_1 \cap \hat{\tau}_2$ is evidently a locally precompact

topology on $\widehat{G}$ whose dual topology $\widehat{\hat{\tau}_1 \cap \hat{\tau}_2}$, which we may consider as a topology on G , dominates each of τ_1, τ_2 , and is dominated by $\tau_1 \vee \tau_2$. Hence $\widehat{\hat{\tau}_1 \cap \hat{\tau}_2} = \tau_1 \vee \tau_2$ and by Pontryagin duality we must have $\widehat{\tau_1 \vee \tau_2} = \hat{\tau}_1 \cap \hat{\tau}_2$. $\square$

6. EXAMPLES

We close this paper with some examples to indicate the scope of $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ and hence $A^*(G)$ and its spectrum G^* .

First note that if G is compact, then $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G) = \{\tau_G\}$, since every coarser group topology is clearly a quotient of τ_G .

We will compute several slightly less trivial examples below, where $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G) = \{\tau_{ap}, \tau_G\}$. As trivial as this seems, it still give rise to an interesting spine compactification of G :

$$G^* = G^{ap} \sqcup G$$

by (4.7). As in Theorem 4.2 the topology is given by letting G be an open subgroup of G^* , and for any point in G^{ap} , a basic open neighbourhood is of the form $U \sqcup W$, where U is open in G^{ap} and $G \setminus W$ is compact in G . The semigroup multiplication is given by allowing G^{ap} and G to each be subgroups while for s in G^{ap} and t in G we let $st = s\eta_{ap}(t)$ and $ts = \eta_{ap}(t)s$. Hence G^{ap} is a closed ideal in G^* .

We explicitly compute $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ for vector groups and certain semi-direct product Lie groups in §6.1.

In the last two subsections we give some hints at the scope and complexity of $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ for algebraic groups and free groups.

Since it will prove useful below, let us note the following fact.

Lemma 6.1. *If G is a locally compact group, K a compact normal subgroup with quotient map $q_K : G \rightarrow G/K$, and $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$, then $\bar{\tau} = \{U \subseteq G/K : q_K^{-1}(U) \in \tau\} \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G/K)$.*

Proof. First note that $\eta_\tau(K)$ is a compact normal subgroup of G_τ . Thus η_τ induces a continuous homomorphism $\bar{\eta}_\tau : G/K \rightarrow G_\tau/\eta_\tau(K)$, and $\bar{\tau} = \bar{\eta}_\tau^{-1}(\tau_{G_\tau/\eta_\tau(K)})$ and is thus locally precompact. Let (G^{ap}, η_{ap}) denote the almost periodic compactification of G . Then, by similar reasoning as above, we have that $\eta_{ap}(K)$ is bicontinuously isomorphic to K and $G^{ap}/\eta_{ap}(K) \cong (G/K)^{ap}$. Thus if $U \in \tau_{ap}(G/K)$ – the topology on G/K induced by its almost periodic compactification – there is V in τ_{ap} such that $q_K^{-1}(U) = VK$, so $q_K^{-1}(U) \in \tau_{ap} \subseteq \tau$. Hence $\tau_{ap}(G/K) \subseteq \bar{\tau}$ so $\bar{\tau} \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G/K)$. $\square$

6.1. Some Lie Groups. We begin with the most basic class of examples. We will use additive notation when dealing with the integers $\mathbb{Z}$, real numbers $\mathbb{R}$, and for any vector group.

Proposition 6.2. *If G admits a compact normal subgroup K for which G/K is bicontinuously isomorphic to either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G) = \{\tau_{ap}, \tau_G\}$.*

We note that if K is trivial, then Theorem 5.1 tells us that on $\mathbb{R} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$, or $\mathbb{T} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$, the only locally compact group topology strictly finer than the usual topology is the discrete topology. This fact seems well-known. See, for example, [34, Section 2].

Proof. If $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$, then by Lemma 6.1 we obtain a non-quotient topology $\bar{\tau}$ on G/K . Then by [22, 9.1], the continuous homomorphism $\eta_{\bar{\tau}} : G/K \rightarrow (G/K)_{\bar{\tau}}$ satisfies either that $\eta_{\bar{\tau}}(G/K)$ is bicontinuously isomorphic to G/K , or has compact closure. In the first case, $\tau = \tau_G$; in the second, $\tau = \tau_{ap}$. $\square$

We note that the discrete reals $\mathbb{R}_d$ will present a much more complicated structure. We will see in the next section that the additive rationals $\mathbb{Q}$, which is an open subgroup, presents a non-trivial structure.

We generalise Proposition 6.2 to vector groups. The following is suggested by [34, Lemma 2.6]. We recall that $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ for any non-negative integer n .

Theorem 6.3. *If $n \geq 2$, let $\mathcal{L}_n$ denote the subspace lattice of $\mathbb{R}^n$. For each $L \in \mathcal{L}_n$ we let $\hat{\tau}_L$ be the topology on $\mathbb{R}^n$ formed by letting L be an open subgroup and $\hat{\tau}_L \cap L$ be the usual topology. Then $\hat{\tau}_L$ is locally compact on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and finer than $\tau_{\mathbb{R}^n}$. Moreover if we let τ_L be the locally precompact non-quotient topology on $\mathbb{R}^n$ for which $\widehat{\tau_L} = \hat{\tau}_L$ (in the notation of Section 5), then*

$$\mathcal{T}_{nq}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{\tau_L : L \in \mathcal{L}_n\}$$

with semilattice structure

$$\tau_{L_1} \vee \tau_{L_2} = \tau_{L_1 + L_2}.$$

Hence $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is isomorphic to the upper semilattice $\mathcal{L}_n$.

Note that in the notation above $\tau_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is the usual topology while $\tau_{\{0\}} = \tau_{ap}$. The above result extends very easily to all groups G for which $G/K \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ for a compact normal subgroup K . Note that in $\mathcal{L}_n$, every hereditary directed subset in $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ is principal. Hence by Proposition 4.4 is a lattice with

$$(6.1) \quad \tau_{L_1} \wedge \tau_{L_2} = \tau_{L_1 \cap L_2}.$$

Indeed, it is clear that $\tau_{L_1 \cap L_2} \subseteq \tau_{L_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$. If L_0 in $\mathcal{L}_n$ satisfies $\tau_{L_0} \subseteq \tau_{L_j}$ for each $j = 1, 2$, then $L_0 \subseteq L_j$ for each j , so $L_0 \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$.

Proof. It is plain to see that if $L \in \mathcal{L}_n$, then $\hat{\tau}_L$ forms a locally compact group topology on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, if L' is a linear complement, then since L is open, $\{0\} = L \cap L' \in \hat{\tau}_L \cap L'$; so $\hat{\tau}_L \cap L'$ is the discrete topology. It follows from the direct sum decomposition $\mathbb{R}^n = L + L'$ that $(s, t) \mapsto s + t$ is a bicontinuous isomorphism from $L \times L'_d$ to $(\mathbb{R}^n, \hat{\tau}_L)$. It is obvious that $\hat{\tau}_L$ is finer than $\tau_{\mathbb{R}^n}$. It thus follows from Theorem 5.1 that there is τ_L in $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which $\hat{\tau}_L = \widehat{\tau_L}$.

Let us now check the lattice operations. If $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L}_n$ then it is clear that $\hat{\tau}_{L_j} \supseteq \hat{\tau}_{L_1 + L_2}$ for $j = 1, 2$. On the other hand, if $U \in \hat{\tau}_{L_1} \cap \hat{\tau}_{L_2}$ and $s \in U$ then find a neighbourhood of the identity V with $s + 2V \subseteq U$. Then $W = V \cap L_1 + V \cap L_2 \in \hat{\tau}_{L_1 + L_2}$, since $L_1 + L_2$ is a linear quotient of $L_1 \times L_2$,

and $s + W \subseteq s + 2V \subseteq U$. Hence $U \in \hat{\tau}_{L_1+L_2}$. Thus $\hat{\tau}_{L_1} \cap \hat{\tau}_{L_2} = \hat{\tau}_{L_1+L_2}$ and it follows from Corollary 5.2 that $\tau_{L_1} \vee \tau_{L_2} = \tau_{L_1+L_2}$.

Now let $\hat{\tau}$ be any locally compact group topology on $\mathbb{R}^n$ which is finer than $\tau_{\mathbb{R}^n}$. It remains to show that $\hat{\tau} = \hat{\tau}_L$ for some L in $\mathcal{L}_n$. Let U be a symmetric open neighbourhood of 0 in $(\mathbb{R}^n, \hat{\tau})$ with compact closure. Then $H = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} nU$ is a compactly generated open subgroup of $(\mathbb{R}^n, \hat{\tau})$. It follows [22, 9.8] that there are non-negative integers l and k and a compact abelian group K such that $H \cong \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{Z}^k \times K$ as topological groups. Since $\text{id} : (\mathbb{R}^n, \hat{\tau}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous, the injection $H \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, \hat{\tau})$ induces a continuous homomorphism $\iota : \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{Z}^k \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. It follows that K is trivial and $0 \leq l \leq n$. Let $L = \iota(\mathbb{R}^l)$. Then L is an open subgroup of H , and hence an open subgroup of $(\mathbb{R}^n, \hat{\tau})$. Moreover, $\hat{\tau} \cap L = \tau_{\mathbb{R}^l}$. This implies that $\hat{\tau} = \hat{\tau}_L$. $\square$

We can compute that for each L in $\mathcal{L}_n$, where L' is a complementary subspace, that $\mathbb{R}_{\tau_L}^n \cong L \times (L')^{ap}$. Hence by (4.7) we have

$$(\mathbb{R}^n)^* \cong \bigsqcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}_n} L \times (L')^{ap}.$$

Moreover, we can use (4.8) to obtain the semigroup operation. If $s = (s_L, s_{ap})$ in $L \times (L')^{ap}$ and $t = (t_M, t_{ap})$ in $M \times (M')^{ap}$, we let $p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow L \cap M$ be the projection relative to the decomposition $\mathbb{R}^n : L \cap M + (L' + M')$ and $p' = \text{id} - p$. Then the semigroup product of s and t is given as in (4.8)

$$\left(p(s_L) + p(t_M), (\eta_{ap}^L \circ p'(s_L), s_{ap}) + (\eta_{ap}^M \circ p'(t_M), t_{ap}) \right) \in L \cap M \times (L' + M')^{ap}$$

where $\eta_{ap}^L : p'(L) \rightarrow p'(L)^{ap}$ is the almost periodic compactification map, and η_{ap}^M is defined similarly. The topology can be described by Theorem 4.2. To observe some of its features let for each $k = 1, \dots, n$

$$\mathcal{L}_{n,k} = \{L \in \mathcal{L}_n : \dim L = k\} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbb{R}^n)_k^* = \bigsqcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}_{n,k}} L \times (L')^{ap}.$$

Then each set $\bigsqcup_{k=1}^l (\mathbb{R}^n)_k^*$ is a closed ideal. Also, in each of the subgroupoids $(\mathbb{R}^n)_k^*$ (a Clifford semigroup is a *groupoid* if we forget to multiply between component subgroups; see [32, Proposition 1.0.1]), in the relativised topology, each of the components $L \times (L')^{ap}$ is an open subgroup.

We can also compute the lattice of non-quotient topologies for the finitely generated free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Recall that $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^n} \cong \mathbb{T}^n$.

Corollary 6.4. *The semilattice $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}_n$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 6.3 we will identify locally compact group topologies on $\mathbb{T}^n \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}^n}$ which are finer than $\tau_{\mathbb{T}^n}$ and appeal to Theorem 5.1. We refer the reader to [43] for pertinent definitions and elementary results in Lie groups.

Let $q : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be the quotient map given by $q(t) = e^{i2\pi t}$. Then the n -fold Kroenecker product map $q^n : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ is a smooth quotient map

– the universal covering map. Thus for distinct L, M in $\mathcal{L}_n$ we have that $q^n(L)$ and $q^n(M)$ are distinct Lie subgroups of $\mathbb{T}^n$. Then $\hat{\tau}_{\{0\}}$ is the discrete topology. For a given L in $\mathcal{L}_n \setminus \{0\}$ we have that there is a Lie group isomorphism $q^n(L) \cong L/(L \cap \ker q^n) \cong \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{T}^m$, where either $0 < l, 0 \leq m$ and $l + m < n$, or $l = 0$ and $0 < m \leq n$. We then let $\hat{\tau}_L$ be the coarsest topology on $\mathbb{T}^n$ which makes $q^n(L)$ an open subgroup which is bicontinuously isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{T}^m$. As in the proof of the theorem above we have that $\hat{\tau}_L \cap \hat{\tau}_M = \hat{\tau}_{L+M}$. Thus if τ_L is the topology on $\mathbb{Z}^n$ for which $\widehat{\tau_L} = \hat{\tau}_L$, then $\{\tau_L : L \in \mathcal{L}_n\}$ forms a subsemilattice of $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

It remains to show that every locally compact group topology $\hat{\tau}$ on $\mathbb{T}^n$, finer than $\tau_{\mathbb{T}^n}$, is of the form $\hat{\tau}_L$ for some L in $\mathcal{L}_n$. As in the proof of the above theorem we find a compactly generated open subgroup H . Then $H \cong \mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^l \times K$ where K is a compact abelian group. Since K must be a compact, hence closed, subgroup of $\mathbb{T}^n$, it is necessarily a Lie subgroup and thus has an open subgroup of the form $\mathbb{T}^m$, where $m \leq n$. The open subgroup of H corresponding to $\mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{T}^m$ injects continuously in to $\mathbb{T}^n$, and hence must be one of the Lie subgroups of the form $q^n(L)$, where $L \in \mathcal{L}_n$, as above. $\square$

Let us illustrate the above result by computing $\mathbb{Z}_\tau^2$ for each τ in $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(\mathbb{Z}^2)$. We have that $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(\mathbb{Z}^2) \cong \mathcal{L}_2 = \{\mathbb{R}^2, L_\theta, \{0\} : 0 \leq \theta < \pi\}$ where for each θ , L_θ is the subspace generated by $(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$. If $\tan \theta$ is rational (or $\theta = \pi/2$) then $q^2(L_\theta) \cong \mathbb{T}$; while otherwise $q^2(L_\theta) \cong \mathbb{R}$, a skew-line in $\mathbb{T}^2$. Letting $\tau_\theta = \tau_{L_\theta}$ we have that

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\tau_\theta}^2 = \overline{\{(n \cos \theta + m \sin \theta, \eta_{ap}(n, m)) : (n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}} \subset \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{Z}^2)^{ap}.$$

Indeed, if $\eta_\theta : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by $\eta_\theta(n, m) = nc + ms$ ($c = \cos \theta, s = \sin \theta$), $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\hat{\xi} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is the character $\hat{\xi}(t) = e^{i2\pi\xi t}$, then $\hat{\xi} \circ \eta_\theta(n, m) = e^{i2\pi\xi(nc+ms)} = q(\xi c)^n q(\xi s)^m$. Thus $\xi \mapsto q^2(\xi c, \xi s)$ is the dual map to η_θ , and has its range in $q^2(L_\theta)$. We then can see that $\tau_\theta = \eta_\theta^{-1}(\tau_{\mathbb{R}}) \vee \tau_{ap}$. Note that if $\tan \theta = s/c$ is irrational, then $\eta_\theta(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$; otherwise it is a closed subgroup.

Let us now embark on computing $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ for any group G for which the following formula is satisfied

$$(6.2) \quad B(G) = A_{\tau_{ap}}(G) \oplus^{\ell^1} B_0(G)$$

where $B_0(G)$ is the closed subalgebra of $B(G)$ of functions vanishing at infinity. This class of groups includes all *minimally weakly almost periodic* groups, i.e., those for which the algebra of weakly almost periodic functions $\mathcal{WAP}(G)$ is as small as it can be, namely

$$\mathcal{WAP}(G) = \mathcal{AP}(G) \oplus \mathcal{C}_0(G).$$

See [8] for more on this. The class of minimally almost periodic Lie groups includes $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and the motion groups $M(n) = \mathrm{SO}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$.

These types of groups admit small spines.

Theorem 6.5. *If G satisfies (6.2), then $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G) = \{\tau_{ap}, \tau_G\}$. Hence $A^*(G) = A_{\tau_{ap}}(G) \oplus^{\ell^1} A(G)$.*

Proof. If $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ and $\mathcal{A} = A_\tau(G) \cap B_0(G) \neq \{0\}$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to a translation invariant $*$ -closed subalgebra of $A_\tau(G)$. Hence by Lemma 3.2, there is a quotient topology τ_0 of τ for which $\mathcal{A} = A_{\tau_0}(G)$. Then $\eta_{\tau_0} : G \rightarrow G_{\tau_0}$ must be a proper map. Indeed, if $K \subset G_{\tau_0}$ and is compact, find $\hat{u}$ in $A(G_{\tau_0})$ so that $\hat{u}|_K = 1$. Then $\eta_{\tau_0}^{-1}(K)$ is a closed subset of G , and if $u = \hat{u} \circ \eta_{\tau_0}$ then $u|_{\eta_{\tau_0}^{-1}(K)} = 1$ and $u \in B_0(G)$, so $\eta_{\tau_0}^{-1}(K)$ is compact. In other words, η_{τ_0} is proper, so τ_0 is a quotient of τ_G . Hence by Theorem 2.2 (ii), $\tau = \tau_G$.

Thus if $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ and $\tau \neq \tau_G$, then by (6.2) $A_\tau(G) \subseteq A_{\tau_{ap}}(G)$, which forces $\tau = \tau_{ap}$. $\square$

We note that the above result can also be derived for $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ by noting that it is a *totally minimal group* (see [13, 7.4.1]) with only one normal subgroup $Z = \{-I, I\}$, which is compact. Moreover $SL_2(\mathbb{R})^{ap} = 1$. It follows that the spine compactification coincides with the one-point compactification.

From the proof of Theorem 6.5 we see that $A^*(G) \cap B_0(G) = A(G)$. Thus it follows from the fact that $B_0(G) \neq A(G)$ for many unimodular groups, by [18], that $A^*(G) \neq B(G)$ for such groups. It is noted in [26] that $A^*(G) \neq B(G)$ for any non-compact abelian group.

In [42] and in [30, Corollary 2.1], it is shown that the “ p -adic motion group” $G = \mathbb{T}_p \ltimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, where $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is the p -adic numbers (p prime) and $\mathbb{T}_p = \{r \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |r|_p = 1\}$ (see Section 6.2, below), satisfies a strong form of (6.2): $B(G) = A_{\tau_{ap}}(G) \oplus^{\ell^1} A(G)$. Thus $A^*(G) = B(G)$ for this non-compact group.

Let us close this section by examining the $ax+b$ -group $H = \{(a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a > 0\}$ with multiplication $(a, b)(a_1, b_1) = (aa_1, ab_1 + b)$. If $j : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the homomorphism given by $j(a, b) = \log a$, then j is continuous with $\ker j = \{(1, b) : b \in \mathbb{R}\} \cong \mathbb{R}$. In [19] the family of irreducible representations is computed; each is a character whose kernel includes $\ker j$. It thus follows that the maximal almost periodic compactification map η_{ap} factors through j , and hence $H^{ap} \cong \mathbb{R}^{ap}$. Moreover, in [29, Théorème 7] it is shown that

$$B(H) = (B(\mathbb{R}) \circ j) \oplus^{\ell^1} A(H).$$

Theorem 6.6. *If H is the $ax+b$ -group, as above, then $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(H) = \{\tau_{ap}, \tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}}, \tau_H\}$, where $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}} = j^{-1}(\tau_{\mathbb{R}})$. Hence*

$$A^*(H) = A_{\tau_{ap}}(G) \oplus^{\ell^1} A_{\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}}}(H) \oplus^{\ell^1} A(H) \cong A(\mathbb{R}^{ap}) \oplus^{\ell^1} A(\mathbb{R}) \oplus^{\ell^1} A(H).$$

Proof. In a manner similar to the proof of Theorem 6.5, we can show that if $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(H)$ and $\tau \neq \tau_H$, then $A_\tau(H) \subset B(\mathbb{R}) \circ j$. Hence by Proposition 3.3 we have that $\tau \subseteq \tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Thus $\eta_{\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}}} : H_{\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}}} \rightarrow H_\tau$ induces a non-quotient topology on $\mathbb{R} \cong H_{\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}}}$. It then follows Proposition 6.2 that τ is either $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}}$ or τ_{ap} . $\square$

We thus deduce that

$$(6.3) \quad A^*(H)|_{\ker j} \cong \mathbb{C}1 \oplus^{\ell^1} A(\mathbb{R}) \not\cong A^*(\mathbb{R}).$$

Also, $A_0^*(H) = A(H)$, so

$$A_0^*(H)|_{\ker j} \cong A(\mathbb{R}) \not\cong A_0^*(\mathbb{R}).$$

We note that $\mathcal{T}_{nq}^0(H) = \{\tau_H\}$ and $A_0^*(H) = A(H)$. Moreover we get spine compactification $H^* = \mathbb{R}^{ap} \sqcup \mathbb{R} \sqcup H$. The topology admits H and $\mathbb{R} \sqcup H$ as open subsets. For any r in $\mathbb{R}^{ap}$ a basic open neighbourhood is of the form $U \sqcup ((-\infty, -a) \cup (a, \infty)) \sqcup W$ where U is an open neighbourhood of r in $\mathbb{R}^{ap}$, $a \geq 0$ and $H \setminus W$ is compact; for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ a basic open neighbourhood is $(s - \varepsilon, s + \varepsilon) \sqcup W$ where $\varepsilon > 0$ and W is as above. If $r \in \mathbb{R}^{ap}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in H$ then we have multiplications

$$rs = r\eta_{ap}(s), \quad st = sj(t) \quad \text{and} \quad rt = r\eta_{ap} \circ j(t)$$

with similar formulas for sr , ts and tr , where $\eta_{ap} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{ap}$ is the compactification map.

6.2. Some Algebraic Groups. Let us first look at some topologies on the discrete additive rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$. We let $\tau_{\mathbb{Q}}$ denote the discrete topology. We let $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the order topology. Finally, we let $\mathbb{P}$ denote the set of primes in $\mathbb{N}$ and for any p in $\mathbb{P}$, let $O_p = \mathbb{Z}[1/q : q \in \mathbb{P}, q \neq p]$. Then let $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ denote the group topology for which $\{p^k O_p : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a base at 0. Then $\mathbb{Q}_{\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}}} \cong \mathbb{R}$; and $\mathbb{Q}_{\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \cong \mathbb{Q}_p$, the p -adic numbers. (Note that one of our main references [22] uses the notation $\Omega_p = \mathbb{Q}_p$.) It is well-known that $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}_d$, $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ($p \in \mathbb{P}$) represent all of the locally compact fields in which $\mathbb{Q}$ is a dense subfield; see [33, Theorem 4-12], for example. In fact, $\mathbb{R}$ is the metrical completion of $\mathbb{Q}$ via the metric $\rho_{\mathbb{R}}(r, s) = |r - s|$, where $|\cdot|$ is the usual order-induced absolute value; and $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is the metrical completion of $\mathbb{Q}$ via the metric $\rho_p(r, s) = |r - s|_p$, where $|\cdot|_p$ is the p -adic norm which is given by

$$|r|_p = p^{-\nu_p(r)} \quad \text{where} \quad \nu_p(r) = \sup \{\nu \in \mathbb{Z} : r \in p^\nu O_p\}.$$

Let us identify $\mathbb{Q}$ with its natural copies in $\mathbb{R}$ and each $\mathbb{Q}_p$. It follows from the weak approximation theorem from number theory (see [7, Section 6], for example), that for any collection $p_1, \dots, p_n$ of distinct primes we have that the “diagonal” subgroup $\{(r, \dots, r) : r \in \mathbb{Q}\} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{n+1}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Q}_{p_n}$. In other words

$$\mathbb{Q}_{\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}} \vee \tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p_1}} \vee \dots \vee \tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p_n}}} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Q}_{p_n}.$$

(The second author is grateful to Eugene Eisenstein for pointing this fact out to him.) Let us also note the following, in an analogy with Proposition 6.2.

Proposition 6.7. *For any p in $\mathbb{P}$, $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \{\tau_{ap}, \tau_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\}$*

Proof. We recall the well-known fact that $\mathbb{Z}_p = \{r \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |r|_p \leq 1\} = \overline{O_p}^{|\cdot|_p}$ is a compact open subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Also, by [22, 25.1], $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p} \cong \mathbb{Q}_p$. If $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, then by Theorem 5.1 $\hat{\tau}$ is a locally compact topology on $\mathbb{Q}_p$ which is finer than $\tau_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. However, since $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is an open subgroup, it follows [34, Theorem 2.1], that $\hat{\tau}$ is either $\tau_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ or the discrete topology. Thus $\tau = \tau_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ or $\tau = \tau_{ap}$, accordingly. $\square$

Returning to topologies on $\mathbb{Q}$, we let

$$\tau_R = \tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}} \vee \tau_{ap}, \text{ and } \tau_p = \tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \vee \tau_{ap} \text{ for each } p \in \mathbb{P}.$$

Proposition 6.8. *None of the topologies $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}}$ or $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ ($p \in \mathbb{P}$) are non-quotient topologies on $\mathbb{Q}$. However, τ_R and τ_p ($p \in \mathbb{P}$) form distinct non-quotient topologies.*

Proof. First, let us note that $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}|_{\mathbb{Q}} \neq \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$ by [22, 25.26]. Hence it follows Theorem 5.1 that $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}} \notin \mathcal{T}_{nq}(\mathbb{Q})$. Moreover we have that $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}|_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p}|_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{1\}$, the trivial character. Indeed, if $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \{1\}$ and U is a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the unit in $\mathbb{T}$, then $\sigma^{-1}(U)$ is a non-dense open subset of $\mathbb{R}$, and hence cannot contain any of the basic neighbourhoods $r + p^k O_p$ from $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. It thus follows from Theorem 5.1 that $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \notin \mathcal{T}_{nq}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Now we wish to show that τ_R, τ_p and τ_q , where p, q are distinct primes, are distinct topologies. Let $r_n = p^n/q^n$ in $\mathbb{Q}$. Then we have

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{Q}_q}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = \infty \quad \text{while} \quad \tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 0.$$

Hence $\{0\} \cup \{r_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -compact and hence τ_p -precompact, while it is $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$ -unbounded and hence not τ_q -precompact. Furthermore, if $p > q$ the same argument shows $\tau_p \neq \tau_R$; and if $p < q$ we conduct the same argument on $1/r_n$ to show that $\tau_q \neq \tau_R$. $\square$

We can adapt the arguments above to show that the topologies $\{\tau_R, \tau_p : p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ generate a free semilattice, $\mathcal{F} = \langle \tau_{ap}, \tau_R, \tau_p : p \in \mathbb{P} \rangle$, in $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(\mathbb{Q})$. However, $\mathcal{F} \neq \mathcal{T}_{nq}(\mathbb{Q})$. Consider, for example, the (open) subgroup $\mathbb{Z}$. If τ_{ap}^0 denotes the topology induced by the almost periodic compactification on $\mathbb{Z}$, then we can extend this to a locally precompact topology $\bar{\tau}$ on $\mathbb{Q}$, as in Lemma 4.10. It can be shown that $\bar{\tau}$ is not in $\mathcal{F}$.

Let $G(\mathbb{Q})$ be any algebraic group which admits an embedding $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow G(\mathbb{Q})$. An example of such is $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ with the embedding $r \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then if let τ_R and τ_p be the non-quotient topologies whose respective quotients are those topologies induced by the embeddings $G(\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow G(\mathbb{R})$ and $G(\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Then these topologies must all be distinct. Thus we expect $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G(\mathbb{Q}))$ to be quite complicated. On the basis of Propositions 6.7 and 6.2, we conjecture that $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \cong \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G(\mathbb{R}))$.

6.3. Free Groups. Free groups will give us very complicated lattices of non-quotient topologies. To capture a glimpse of this, let F_{∞} be the free

group on generators $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then if G is any separable locally compact group and $S = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is any set which generates a dense subgroup, then the map

$$\eta_S : F_\infty \rightarrow G \quad \text{given by} \quad \eta_S(x_n) = s_n$$

is a homomorphism. We can thus generate different choices for locally precompact topologies through different choices of pairs (G, S) , chosen as above. Thus, it seems to be impossible to determine $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(F_\infty)$ in any conventional terms.

Note that the above construction can be generalised to any discrete free group F_X on any infinite set of generators. Moreover, since for each finite $n \geq 2$, the free group F_n contains an (open) copy of F_∞ , Lemma 4.10 shows that $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(F_n)$ is very complicated too.

A less tractable problem appears to be the determination of the non-quotient topologies for the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \infty}$. However pathologies still exist as $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \infty})$ is not a complete semilattice; the convenience of this property is noted in Proposition 4.4. Indeed, fix an irrational real number ξ , let

$$\eta_n : \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \infty} \cong (\mathbb{Z}^2)^{\oplus \infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n : (k_1, l_1, \dots, k_n, l_n, \dots) \mapsto (k_1 + \xi l_1, \dots, k_n + \xi l_n)$$

and let $\tau_n = \eta_n^{-1}(\tau_{\mathbb{R}^n}) \vee \tau_{ap}$. Then $\tau = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_n$ is a topology on $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \infty}$ for which $\mathbb{Z}_\tau^{\oplus \infty}$ admits $\mathbb{R}^{\oplus \infty}$ as a quotient group with compact kernel. (Here $\mathbb{R}^{\oplus \infty} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{R}^n \oplus 0^\infty$ has the inductive limit topology.) Hence τ is not locally precompact.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Arsac. Sur l'espace de Banach engendr   par les coefficients d'une repr  sentation unitaire. *Pub. D  p. Math. Lyon*, 13(2):1–101, 1976.
- [2] C. W. Austin. Duality theorems for some commutative semigroups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 109:245–256, 1963.
- [3] M. E. B. Bekka, A. T. Lau, and G. Schlichting. On invariant subalgebras of the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra of a locally compact group. *Math. Ann.*, 294:513–522, 1992.
- [4] J. F. Berglund, H. D. Junghelm, and P. Milnes. *Analysis on Semigroups: Function Spaces, Compactifications, Representations*. Canadian Math. Society Monographs and Advanced Texts. Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1989.
- [5] D. P. Blecher. The standard dual of an operator space. *Pacific Math. J.*, 153:15–30, 1992.
- [6] D. P. Blecher and V. I. Paulsen. Tensor products of operator spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 99:262–292, 1991.
- [7] J. W. S. Cassels. Global fields. In *Algebraic number theory. Proceedings of an instructional conference organized by the London Mathematical Society*, pages 42–84, London, 1967. Academic Press.
- [8] C. Chou. Minimally weakly almost periodic groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 36:1–17, 1980.
- [9] A. H. Clifford. Semigroups admitting relative inverses. *Ann. Math.*, 42:1037–1049, 1941.
- [10] P. J. Cohen. On a conjecture of Littlewood and idempotent measures. *Amer. J. Math.*, 82:191–212, 1960.
- [11] P. J. Cohen. On homomorphisms of group algebras. *Amer. J. Math.*, 82:213–226, 1960.

- [12] M. Cowling. The Fourier-Stieltjes algebra of a semi-simple group. *Colloq. Math.*, 41:89–94, 1979.
- [13] D.N. Dikranjan, I.R. Prodanov, and L.N. Stoyanov. *Topological Groups: Characters, Dualities, and Minimal Group Topologies*, volume 130 of *Pure and Applied Math.* Marcel Dekker, New York, 1990.
- [14] J. Dixmier. *C*-algebras*, volume 15 of *North-Holland Math. Library*. North-Holland Publishing Co, 1977. Translated from the French by Francis Jellet.
- [15] E. G. Effros and Z.-J. Ruan. On approximation properties for operator spaces. *International J. Math.*, 1:163–187, 1990.
- [16] E. G. Effros and Z.-J. Ruan. *Operator Spaces*, volume 23 of *London Math. Soc., New Series*. Clarendon Press, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 2000.
- [17] P. Eymard. L’algèbre de Fourier d’un groupe localement compact. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 92:181–236, 1964.
- [18] A. Figà-Talamanca. Positive definite functions which vanish at infinity. *Pacific. J. Math.*, 69:355–363, 1977.
- [19] I. M. Gelfand and M. A. Naimark. Unitary representations of the group of linear transformations of the straight line. *C. R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS (N.S.)*, 55:567–570, 1947.
- [20] W. A. Greene. Projective limits in harmonic analysis. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 209:119–142, 1975.
- [21] C. S. Herz. Harmonic synthesis for subgroups. *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble*, 23(3):91–123, 1973.
- [22] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross. *Abstract Harmonic Analysis I*, volume 115 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer, New York, second edition, 1979.
- [23] B. Host. La thérème des idempotents dans $B(G)$. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 114:215–223, 1986.
- [24] T. Husain. *Introduction to Topological Groups*. Saunders, Philadelphia, 1966.
- [25] M. Ilie and N. Spronk. Completely bounded homomorphisms of the Fourier algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 2005. Accepted.
- [26] J. Inoue. Some closed subalgebras of measure algebras and a generalization of P.J. Cohen’s theorem. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 23:278–294, 1971.
- [27] J. Inoue. On the range of a homomorphism of a group algebra into a measure algebra. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 43:94–98, 1974.
- [28] J. L. Kelley. *General Topology*. University Series in Higher Mathematics. Van Nostrand, New York, 1955.
- [29] I. Khalil. Sur l’analyse harmonique do groupe affine de la droite. *Studia Math.*, 51:139–167, 1974.
- [30] G. Mauceri. Square integrable representations and the Fourier algebra of a unimodular group. *Pacific J. Math.*, 73:143–154, 1977.
- [31] D. Montgomery and L. Zippin. *Topological Transformation Groups*, volume 1 of *Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Math.* Wiley, New York, fourth edition, 1966.
- [32] A. L. T. Patterson. *Groupoids, Inverse Semigroups, and their Operator Algebras*, volume 170 of *Progress in Math.* Birkhäuser, Boston, 1999.
- [33] D. Ramakrishnan and R. J. Valenza. *Fourier Analysis on Number Fields*, volume 186 of *Graduate Texts in Math.* Springer, New York, 1999.
- [34] N. W. Rickert. Locally compact topologies for groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2:225–235, 1967.
- [35] W. Roelcke and S. Dierolf. *Uniform Structures on Topological Groups and Their Quotients*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1981.
- [36] Z.-J. Ruan. Subspaces of C*-algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 76:217–230, 1988.
- [37] W. Rudin. *Fourier Analysis on Groups*, volume 12 of *Tracts in Pure and Applied Math.* Wiley Interscience, New York, 1962.

- [38] V. Runde. *Lectures on Amenability*, volume 1774 of *Lec. Notes. in Math.* Springer, Heidelberg, 2002.
- [39] M. Takesaki and N. Tatsuuma. Duality and subgroups, II. *J. Func. Anal.*, 11:184–190, 1972.
- [40] J. L. Taylor. *Measure Algebras*, volume 16 of *Conference Board of Mathematical Sciences*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1973.
- [41] M. E. Walter. On the structure of the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra. *Pacific J. Math.*, 58:267–281, 1975.
- [42] M. E. Walter. On a theorem of Figà-Talamanca. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 60:72–74, 1976.
- [43] F. W. Warner. *Foundations of Differentiable Manifolds and Lie Groups*, volume 94 of *Graduate Texts in Math.* Springer, New York, 1983.

MONICA ILIE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, LAKEHEAD
UNIVERSITY, 955 OLIVER ROAD, THUNDER BAY, ON P7B 5E1, CANADA
E-mail: milie@lakeheadu.ca

NICO SPRONK, DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF
WATERLOO, WATERLOO, ON N2L 3G1, CANADA
E-mail: nspronk@uwaterloo.ca